# WILLIAM RAINEY HAR PER COLLEGE Algonquin \& Roselle Roads <br> Palatine, Illinois 60067 

## AGENDA

## June 11, 1970

I. Call to Order
II. Roll Call
III. Approval of Minutes
IV. Approval of Disbursements
a. Construction Payouts
b. Bills Payable
c. Payroll - May 15 - May 31, 1970
d. Estimated Payroll - June l - July 31, 1970
V. Communications
a. Letter of Resignation
VI. New Business
A. Recommendation: Staffing - Faculty Positions Exhibit A
(To be hand carried)
B. Recommendation: Administrative Salaries ..... Exhibit B
(To be hand carried)
C. Recommendation: Approval of Contract - Off Campus Exhibit C
College Work Study Agreement
D. Recommendation: Conversion of Financial Accounting ..... Exhibit DSystem to Data Processing
E. Recommendation: Approval of Bid Award for College Exhibit EVehicles. (To be hand carried)
F. Discussion: Long Range Plans - Enrollment and ..... Exhibit FFacilities
G. Presentation: Schematic Design by CRS
H. Presentation: Financial Plan - Long Range Construction
I. Recommendation: Conduct Code RevisionExhibit IJ. Other
VII. President's Report
VIII. Adjournment

WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT 512
COUNTIES OF COOK, KANE, LAKE, AND MCHENRY, STATE OF ILLINOIS

Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of Thursday, June 11, 1970

CALL TO ORDER:

## ROLL CALL:

MINUTES:

Chairman Hamill called to order the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of Junior College District No. 512 at 8:15 p.m., on June 11, 1970, in the Board Room of the Administration Building, Algonquin and Roselle Roads, Palatine.

Present: Members John Haas, James Hamill, Lawrence Moats and Joseph Morton
Absent: Members Milton Hansen, Richard Johnson and Jessalyn Nicklas

Also present: Robert E. Lahti, Donald Andries, John Birkholz, Diane Callin, Larry Collister, John W.Davis, Charles Falk, Guerin Fischer, John Gelch, Ed Goodwin, James Harvey, Mimi Hickman, Robert Hughes, Jack Lucas, Frank Maguire, W. J. Mann, Don Misic, L. Owens, Robert Powell, Martin Ryan, Janet Savin, C.H.Schauer, Roy Sedrel, Frank E. Smith, Irvin A. Smith, Calvin Stockman, Marilyn Swanson, Gilbert Tierney, Fred Vaisvil, Frank Vandever, George Voegel, W.E.Von Mayr and Lloyd Wilkes--Harper College; Frank M.Hines-Board Attorney; Donald Duffy--Harper Student; Linda Punch and Tom Wellman--Paddock Publications; Mabel E. Lucas--Elgin Daily Courier News; Kathie Radtke--Day Publications; Clarence Quinlan--Ernst \& Ernst; Ed Finlay, Ralph Carroll and Steve Sawyer--Caudill, Rowlett \& Scott; Ruth A. Records--Camp Reinberg; Marvin E. Lore and Pat Isom--S.E.I.U. Local \#ll; and Linda Brown, Jo Ellen Clawes, Michael Druding, LeRoi Hutchings, Mrs. Chester Pointer, Loraine B. Powell, James R. Schmidt and Mr. and Mrs. R.J.Wilson, Jr.

Member Haas moved and Member Morton seconded the motion to approve the minutes of May 28, 1970.

Member Moats stated his recollection was that Section 3.0 .7 of the Policy Manual would be written out in full. After discussion, Member Moats moved, and Member Morton seconded the motion, that the minutes of May 28, 1970, be amended to reflect the fact that Section 3.0 .7 of the Policy Manual be written out in full in tenure agreements between the college and individual faculty members. Motion unanimously carried.

MINUTES: (Cont.)

DISBURSEMENTS:
Construction
Payouts

Bills Payable

The Chairman asked for a vote on approval of the minutes of May 28, 1970, as amended: Motion passed unanimously.

There were no construction payouts. Mr. Mann reported there are a tremendous amount of punch list items needing to be completed on the buildings themselves. He stated the weather has been bad, and the site work is way behind schedule. Mr. Finlay informed the Board that a letter had been sent to Corbetta a few weeks ago informing them that unless they proceeded with dispatch to clean up the punch list, the architects would have no alternative but to have someone else complete the work. He stated it did speed it up but that now it had slowed down again. He further stated there was a good possibility that, unless they got the kind of activity they wished, the I.B.A. might have to take the funds retained and use those funds to pay to have this work done. Mr. Finlay stated the weather is now good and there should be some activity.

Member Moats moved and Member Haas seconded the motion to approve the following bills payable as of June ll, 1970:

| Educational Fund | $\$ 263,373.20$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Building Fund | $84,252.36$ |
| Site \& Construction Fund | $87,484.93$ |
| Auxiliary Fund | $23,192.73$ |
|  | $\underline{\$ 458,303.22}$ |

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton
Nays: None
Member Haas moved and Member Morton seconded the motion to approve the payroll of May 15,1970 , in the amount of $\$ 157,561.53$; the payroll of May 31,1970 , in the amount of $\$ 158,160.46$; and the estimated payroll of June lJuly 3l, 1970, in the amount of $\$ 692,000.00$.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton
Nays: None

COMMUNICATIONS:
Chairman Hamill welcomed Miss Linda Punch, intern reporter from Paddock Publications.

Chairman Hamill requested that the audience and the Board defer to the written procedures of the Board--that any individuals or groups who wish to be heard at a Board meeting must file a request in writing with the Chairman of the Board or the President of the College at least four days prior to the meeting. The Chairman stated that Mr . Robert J . Wilson had requested in writing to be placed upon the agenda of the meeting and called upon Mr. Wilson for his statement.

Mr. Wilson read a statement which he said was in response to Mrs. Chester Pointer's statement made at the May 14,1970 , meeting of the Board. Mr. Wilson stated he felt Mrs. Pointer's comments could not go unchallenged (Copy of his statement attached to the Minutes in the Board of Trustees Official Book of Minutes). Chairman Hamill thanked Mr. Wilson for appearing before the Board.

Chairman Hamill called attention to an article in the June 9, 1970, issue of Paddock Publications concerning a resolution passed by the Republican Organization of Schaumburg Township in which they blasted the Harper Board of Trustees in connection with the flag lowering incident.

Dr. Lahti reported a letter had been received from the Northwest Dental Study Club congratulating the students, faculty, administration and Board of Trustees on the success of the Dental Hygiene Program.

Dr. Lahti informed the Board a letter had been received from Gloria Kinney, Executive Director of Northwest Educational Cooperative. Mrs. Kinney acknowledged receipt of Harper's letter of agreement concerning the NEC Data Processing Project and stated this would be presented to their board.

Dr. Lahti distributed a letter of resignation from Sharon Zamkovitz, developmental counselor.

Chairman Hamill reported the Board had received a communication the day before from the faculty in the Communications Division vis-a-vis the employment of Michael Bartos as Division Chairman of Communications, and concerning the one year contract. Due to the length of this communication and the fact that it was

COMMUNICATIONS: (Cont.)

NEW BUSINESS: Staffing--Faculty Positions
just received the day before, Chairman Hamill asked if this could be taken up at the next Board meeting. Mr . Ryan agreed. Chairman Hamill, at the request of Dr. Lahti, clarified the point with Mr. Ryan that any request by the faculty to be put upon the Board's agenda should come through the administration. Mr. Ryan agreed.

Dr. Harvey presented the background and qualifications of Mr. Robert Moriarty in the field of counseling.

Member Haas moved and Member Moats seconded the motion to approve the employment of Robert Moriarty, as a counselor, rank of Assistant Professor, at a salary of \$12,000.00 for the 1970-71 academic year--39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton
Nays: None
Dr. Schauer presented Michael Oester for the field of chemistry.

Member Morton moved and Member Haas seconded the motion to approve the employment of Michael Oester, in the field of Chemistry and Mathematics for the 1970-71 academic year--39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970, rank of Assistant Professor at a salary of $\$ 10,200.00$, contingent upon receipt of PhD. by September l, 1970, otherwise rank of Instructor, at a salary of \$9,200.00.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

Dr. Schauer presented the next candidate, Miss Mary Ann Mickina, in the field of Secretarial Science.

Member Moats moved and Member Morton seconded the motion to approve the employment of Miss Mary Ann Mickina, in the field of Secretarial Science, rank of Instructor, for the l970-7l academic year--39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970, at a salary of \$10,750.00.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

NEW BUSINESS:
Staffing--Faculty Positions (cont.)

Staffing--
Administrative Position

Dr. Schauer presented Miss Elizabeth Ann Pawlak, in the field of Dental Hygiene.

Member Moats moved the employment of Elizabeth Ann Pawlak in the field of Dental Hygiene, rank of Instructor, for the 1970-71 academic year--39 weeks, effective Sept.8, 1970, at a salary of $\$ 9,200.00$.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

Mr. Charles Falk discussed the background and qualifications of Mr. Gerald R. Tapp, in the field of Business Administration.

Member Haas moved and Member Morton seconded the motion to approve the employment of Mr . Gerald R . Tapp, in the field of Business Administration, rank of Instructor, for the 1970-71 academic year--39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970, at a salary of $\$ 13,000.00$.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

Dr. Schauer informed the Board that Mr. Alfred Dunikoski was being presented as a candidate for the position of Graphics Design Coordinator. He stated this was a replacement for Mr . Holt whom the Board had previously approved, but who did not accept the contract offered. Dr. Voegel discussed Mr. Dunikoski's background and qualifications, the responsibilities of this position and the relationship to the faculty.

Member Haas moved the employment of Alfred Dunikoski, as Graphics Design Coordinator, 12 month position, prorated from August 15, 1970 through June 30, l971, at \$12,690.00 for that period, rank of Instructor.

A lengthy discussion followed. Dr. Voegel stated one of the basic philosophies of the college is to allow the teaching faculty to teach and to have these other people give as much support as possible. He stated it is not an effective utilization of the talents of the faculty members to have them doing this work themselves. Member Moats asked if the college did not have a graphics art person now doing most of the art work. Dr. Lahti

NEW BUSINESS:
Staffing--
Administrative
Position (cont.)

Administrative Salaries
stated Carl Regehr had been doing this work, but left on June l, and someone was needed to replace him.
Member Moats stated his position now was the same as it was before in regard to this position, that he felt the college was on too tight a budget to fill this position right now until they passed a referendum.

Member Morton asked if the job anticipated could be done by a technician without a degree. Dr. Voegel stated he felt the individual needed to have an awareness of what was going on in education and that a technician from industry would not have the expertise. Dr. Voegel discussed Mr. Dunikoski's experience in working with the faculty at Indiana University.

Member Haas' motion died for lack of a second.
Member Morton moved to table consideration of this individual for the position of Graphics Design Coordinator until the next meeting. Member Haas seconded the motion.

Chairman Hamill asked how serious it would be if this replacement were not made, and if it would cause a problem in the audio visual area. Dr. Voegel stated he felt it would. Mr. Stockman informed the Board they were holding many requests from faculty right now until they had a person competent enough to develop them.

Member Morton stated he would look into this in the next two weeks.

Dr. Voegel stated in the case of this person he felt it would be reasonable to hold the decision on him for two weeks.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Morton
Nays: Member Moats
Motion tabled.
Dr. Lahti discussed Exhibit B. He stated an executive session had been held to discuss administrative salaries. Besides the proposed administrative salary ranges, this exhibit included a merit increase scale that will be applied to individual administrators, fringe benefit program and total dollar request. Dr. Lahti informed the Board there is a semi-formal evaluation system for administrators, and next year there will be a formal evaluation system. He stated administrators are aware of this. He pointed out that goals for next year will

NEW BUSINESS: Administrative Salaries (cont.)

Contract--Off Campus College Work Study Agreement
be decided upon and each man will be measured on his ability to accomplish these goals and the administrative skills he will have to draw upon to accomplish these goals. He stated this is a system that has been applied in business and industry and is a process of evaluating people on the basis of results.

Chairman Hamill stated the Board had met in executive session with Mr. Benjamin Butts, of Ernst \& Ernst, who presented a complete analysis of administrative salaries. Chairman Hamill, on behalf of the Board, complimented Dr. Lahti and his staff on the progress which has been made in Management By Objective and stated a number of people at Michigan State were extremely interested in this work. He also acknowledged the article, "Programming for Leadership Development," written by Dr. Lahti and published in the May issue of College and University Business.

Member Moats stated he would like to point out that the overall percent increase in administrative salaries is close to ll. 3\%. The regular salary percentages were being increased by $9.7 \%$ which, he stated, is about par with the faculty. Chairman Hamill pointed out the administration is about $6 \%$ behind faculty in raises granted in the past few years. Member Haas stated the Board made what they felt inere some needed adjustments in salaries of division chairmen.

Member Haas moved and Member Moats seconded the motion to approve the recommendation covering proposed administrative salary ranges, merit increase scale, and fringe benefits, with a total dollar increase of $\$ 64,500.00$ (as in Exhibit $B$ attached to the Minutes in the Official Board of Trustees Book of Minutes).

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

Dr. Harvey discussed the College Work Study--Off Campus Program in which the college has participated for some three years. He stated the program is funded $80 \%$ by federal funds and $20 \%$ by the community group. The program is designed to involve students more in Community Outreach Activities by offering them opportunities to assist "non-profit" community agencies. Dr. Harvey informed the Board the proposed contract had been developed with

NEW BUSINESS: Contract--Off
:ampus College
Work Study
Agreement (cont.)

Conversion of Financial Account-
Cing System to
Data Processing
the Board attorney using the guidelines provided by the HEW office. He stated Harper would act as the funneling agency for the federal funds. Mr. Vaisvil discussed the work and objectives of the Chicago Commons Association (Camp Reinberg) and introduced Mrs. Ruth Records from Camp Reinberg to the Board. Mr. Vaisvil stated the college receives $3 \%$ of actual costs as funneling agent and would not have any institutional funds involved in this project. Member Haas stated he was very enthused about this type of program. Chairman Hamill pointed out he felt it was a community service.

Member Haas moved and Member Moats seconded the motion to approve the contract, attached to Exhibit c, between Harper College and Chicago Commons Association Off Campus Work Study.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

Mr. Mann discussed the proposed conversion of financial accounting system to data processing and the background work which had been done with Ernst \& Ernst over the past three years. He stated the administration was recommending acceptance of the proposal by Ernst \& Ernst to assist in the conversion to data processing, in order to fully utilize the potential benefits of the computer in the financial area. He pointed out there is no room for error in the financial area.

Mr. Quinlan, of Ernst \& Ernst, reported they were proposing to develop a comprehensive plan of all financial aspects. They would develop the plan and implementation would be done by others. He discussed the work Ernst \& Ernst had developed for other educational systems. Mr. Mann pointed out this plan would not work unless the college staff was directly and intimately involved in this conversion problem.

Member Haas moved and Member Morton seconded the motion to accept the proposal, in Exhibit D, by Ernst \& Ernst to assist the college in their conversion to data processing, with estimated expenses ranging from \$9,500 to \$ll,500, plus any out-of-pocket case expenses.

Member Moats asked if there would be any savings by converting to this system. Mr. Mann stated that after

NEW BUSINESS:
Conversion of
Tinancial Accounting System to Data Processing (cont.)

Bid Award for College Vehicles

Long Range Plans-Enrollment and Facilities
the college moved into the ten to twenty thousand enrollment category, there would be a savings. He stated this could not be justified if it weren't for the growth pattern the college is in. Chairman Hamill pointed out that one of the primary functions of the Board is to make sure the Business Office is run with the proper controls and the proper accounting of money.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:

> Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton Nays: None

Mr. Mann explained the automobile manufacturers are not producing 1970 cars and the prices are not out on 1971 cars. He requested the Board remove this item from the agenda until a later date.

Dr. Lahti informed the Board that Exhibit F, prepared by Dr. Lucas, was the beginning of long range plans-enrollment and facilities projections--for the college. He stated Dr. Lucas would have a long range planning committee to assist him.

Dr. Lucas discussed this report, stating the architects felt the projections were very conservative. Dr. Lucas stated they would need a determination as to whether the college would stay on this site or whether to consider a second site. If the decision was made to stay on this site, the question would be what affect this would have on traffic in the area. Dr. Lucas stated this document was being presented to the Board basically as background information, and the administration was hoping that within three to six months a decision could be made as to whether or not they could consider another site. He stated this decision would also have implications for the long range planning committee. The number of alternatives available would depend on these solutions. Dr. Lucas stated they would also need some kind of in-put on the kind of information the Board would like before they made their decision.

Chairman Hamill stated he would like to see a consultant brought in. He outlined some factors that would need to be considered: the relationship of the present building program and whether or not there will be enough money in the site and construction fund to complete that; and how to fund in the second site without completing the first campus; tax rate referendum; the timing schedule if a second site were going to be acquired.

NEW BUSINESS:
Long Range Plans-Enrollment and r'acilities

Presentation--
Schematic Design by CRS

Dr. Lahti informed the Board the administration felt it was their responsibility to alert the Board and community to future needs of the college. He said he felt that expanding the college to twice its size and maintaining any kind of order was not feasible. He stated he felt it would be in the best interests of the college to set some kind of goal with some long range plan in mind.

Chairman Hamill expressed the hope the administration would pursue the idea of a consultant studying the changing patterns of density based on this area. Dr. Lahti stated Dr. Lucas would pursue this suggestion and obtain proposals. Chairman Hamill suggested the administration schedule a meeting in early fall of a committee of the whole Board, the architects, and Dr. Lucas, and spend the evening discussing ramifications of a decision on a second site.

Mr. E. Finlay introduced his partner at Caudill, Rowlett and Scott, Ralph Carroll, and Steve Sawyer--key member of the design team. He stated their presentation would be on schematic design on the next series of buildings on the campus--their concept for the rest of the campus with the exception of the Auditorium and Little Theater. Mr. Finlay categorized typical architectural services in five steps, as follows: l) Schematic design;
2) Design development phase; 3) Working drawings or construction document phase; 4) Bidding process; and, 5) Construction process. He stated the schematic design step gives the approach the architects have taken and physically the configuration of the buildings.

Chairman Hamill called for a two minute recess of the meeting. When the meeting again came to order, Mr. Carroll distributed the schematic design to Board members and explained this would complete master plan for the 7000 level, encompassing 340,000 square feet. He stated the budget estimate, based on current 1970 prices, including fees totaled \$14,200.000.

Mr. Sawyer presented slides of model they presented on schematic design phase.

Member Hansen entered the meeting at 10:30 p.m.
Mr. Saywer discussed accommodations for handicapped students, parking and future expansion. He showed slides on plans for athletic complex, engineering, vocationaltechnical, social sciences, humanities and communications areas.

NEW BUSINESS: Schematic Design $\sim$ D CRS (cont.)

Financial Plan--
Long Range Construction

Conduct Code
Revision

Mr. Finlay informed the Board the architects planned to come to the next Board meeting with recommendation on which of these units would be carried into design development. He stated they would like Board's approval for the work they had established.

Member Moats moved and Member Haas seconded the motion to approve the architects' schematic design presentation.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Moats and Morton
Nays: None
Abstaining: Member Hansen
Member Hansen explained he had abstained because he had not seen the whole presentation.

Mr. Mann discussed Exhibit H, Long Range Construction-Financial Plan. He stated this is an interim report, a very flexible plan. He informed the Board Dr. Schauer would present a priority list of which projects he would like to see first. After the priority list is available the administration will come back to the Board for permission to proceed. He stated this plan is an estimate of the costs for the construction and equipping of the remaining buildings of the master plan, beyond the Music Wing and Science Wing additions, with the exception of the Little Theatre and Auditorium. Mr. Mann stated these buildings have been carried through the schematic design stage and the timetable for further architectural development will be governed by the availability of state funds. He stressed the importance of being prepared to move ahead as funds become available.

Dr. Harvey explained that the revisions in the Student Conduct Code were being brought to the Board because of added experience, plus some court cases and experience other colleges have had. He reported this had been worked on with the student government over the past year and reviewed by Mr. Hines, Board Attorney.

In reference to the first page of revisions, second paragraph, beginning, "Harper College fully supports democracy as basis....." Chairman Hamill asked what the need for this paragraph was. Dr. Harvey stated the students were interested in a statement by the college that would say they had the right to demonstrate.

NEW BUSINESS:
Student Conduct Code Revision (cont.)

In reference to page two, 2nd paragraph under item 13, reading, "The college reserves the right in behalf of its campus police officers to search a student's personal belongings and/or automobile when on the college campus in accordance with state law," Member Morton stated he was bothered by this statement. Board members agreed they would prefer the words police officers be changed to security officers.

A lengthy discussion followed. Mr. Hines pointed out the paragraph ended with the phrase "...in accordance with state law." He stated this would preclude the security department from searching at will, they must have reasonable cause. Dr. Harvey referred to the previous meeting when the Board had approved police training for security officers.

Mr. Vaisvil suggested Mr. Hines study literature on federal grants involving security officers making arrests.

Member Hansen stated he felt this paragraph was written too loosely and did not have limitations. He stated he felt there were definite limitations on the right of any police officer to search anyone. Member Moats stated he thought it was premature and he would like to see some court cases before he could see the need for it. Mr . Hines stated he would be happy to explore it further.

Donald Duffy, President of the Student Senate, reported the reason the students asked for this was because Mr . Mandarino had advised them security officers could act in this capacity, and they felt it was advisable to protect students from malicious students.

Member Hamill stated he felt this paragraph should be included, that the security officer is a well recognized need and this would assist in further maintaining security on the campus. He stated as the students were in favor of this he did not feel the Board should curtail security.

Dr. Lahti pointed out that in all court cases being handed down obviously it is important that people be notified of the rights of the security officers. He stated if the right is there, he thought it was healthy that it be spelled out.

NEW BUSINESS:
Student Conduct Code Revision (Cont.)

Member Morton moved and Member Moats seconded the motion to delete this paragraph from the proposed changes in the Student Conduct code.

Chairman Hamill stated under the circumstances, when the students proposed something on their own behalf to help maintain security on campus, that he could not understand the Board voting it down, and he stated he felt this would result in Board members causing verious campus problems.

Dr. Harvey stated they had planned to go to press within two days on the Student Handbook, that it needed to be out by August l. He stated this clarification had been put in for the students, that it would not be possible to write in all of the aspects of the state statutes, and that he felt it would be a mistake not to have these codes of conduct. Mr. Borelli said they could delay and see if they can get the handbook out by August 1.

Member Haas stated he felt uncomfortable about adopting these revisions in the conduct code at this particular time because he had not, personally, had an opportunity to do as much studying and thinking about them as he would like. He said under ordinary circumstances he would vote to table them until the next meeting, but was hesitating to do that because of the need to go to press. He stated the one valid legal argument he had heard and agreed with was the one Dr. Lahti maintained-the trend in cases where people insisted upon being given notice of what the code of discipline is. He added he thought that was a very important aspect.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Hansen, Moats and Morton
Nays: Members Haas, Hamill.
Member Haas moved to table the whole proposal on revisions of the Student Conduct code until the next meeting. Member Hansen seconded the motion.

Upon roll call, the vote was as follows:
Ayes: Members Haas, Hamill, Hansen, Moats and
Morton
Nays: None

NEW BUSINESS: (Cont.)

OTHER:

Mr. Duffy requested that the Board consider the proceedings on student appeals. He stated as it is set up now the appeal is appealable first to Dr. Harvey, then to Dr. Lahti, and then to the Board of Trustees. The students are asking that somewhere along the line of appeal they would like some official student in-put, they would like to have one member of peer group on board of appeals.

Dr. Lahti stated he wanted to make one point--that he would not want to be locked into a student appeals committee from the position the Board charges him with in this institution.

Dr. Harvey stated there had been a lengthy discussion with the Student Senate. He stated his position had been that an appeal body that was the same make-up as the conduct committee would add an unnecessary level.

Mr. Duffy stated the conduct committee hears facts, makes some kind of judgments. The appeals committee hears appeals on grounds of whether or not the hearing was handled fairly, etc. He stated the in-put would not be the same.

Member Haas stated he felt there were two aspects of this problem, which the Board would be happy to consider when going over this. Mr. Duffy stated he would be happy to come back.

Dr. Lahti informed the Board the next scheduled meeting would be on June 25 and some Board members would be at a conference on that date. He also stated the soil conservationist group had requested to meet with the Board on June 22. Dr. Lahti stated this should be a Board and community matter.

Chairman Hamill suggested, and the other Board members agreed, the regular Board meeting be scheduled for Tuesday, June 30, and Dr. Lahti advise the soil conservationist people the Board will meet with them on June 22 in the Board Room at 8:00 p.m.

Dr. Lahti suggested perhaps the Board could have one meeting in July, in order to work out vacations for the staff.

OTHER:
Dr. Lahti reported the Budget Committee had met again and were, hopefully, in the final steps of completing the budget. He informed the Board because of the condition of the budget they had removed another \$150.000.00.

Mr. Mann suggested the budget committee members could meet on June 22, the same night as the meeting with the soil conservationists, as that meeting probably would not be lengthy.

Member Haas moved and Member Morton seconded the motion to recess to executive session at ll:55 p.m., to discuss collective bargaining. Motion unanimously carried.

Chairman Hamill reconvened the meeting at 12:30 a.m. with Members Haas, Hamill, Hansen, Moats and Morton present.

Member Haas moved and Member Hansen seconded the motion at 12:35 p.m., to adjourn the Board meeting to Monday, June 22, l970, at 8:00 p.m., in the Board Room at Algonquin and Roselle Roads, Palatine, Illinois. Motion unanimously carried.
WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512
PALATINE, ILLINOIS 60067
BILLS FOR APPROVALJune 11, 1970
I. BILLS PAYABLEPAGES
Educational Fund ..... \$263,373. 20 ..... 7
Building Fund $\$ 84,252.36$ ..... 2
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 I．B．M．Corp． Ill．St．Geological Survey Joe Kantor Labquip Corp． Labquip Corp． Midwest Visual Equipment Co． Suzanne Montabon Moore Business Forms，Inc． The Natl．Cash Register Co． Natl．Computer Systems Natl．Research Bureau Neal Machinery Co． The Peoria Sands M Prentice－Hall Inc． Prudential Insurance Co． Remington Rand Office Mach．Div． Rosenthal Art Slides Gene Strauss
Tektronix Inc．
Terminal Equip．Sales \＆Svc． Charles C．Thomas，Publisher Universal Stationers，Inc． Varityper Corp． O．Keith Wanke
Harper College Auxiliary Fund Harper College Site \＆
Construction Fund
\(\left.\begin{array}{r}CHECK <br>

AMOUNT\end{array}\right\}\)| $8,017.92$ |
| ---: |
| $263,373.20$ |



LS6T

Travel－H．Kurowski

## PAYEE

## Arlington Park Dodge

Aerosol Exterminators，Inc． Atlas Matl．Handling Equip． Busch Auto Service Center Commonwealth Edison Co． The Davies Supply Co． Gulletts Loc－N－Key Service Hill－Behan Lumber Co． Illinois Bell Telephone Co． Illinois Bell Telephone Co． Northwestern Salt Co． Palatine Welding \＆Engr．Co． P \＆W Industrial Sales Sinclair Oil Corp． Ability Glass \＆Mirror Arlington Oil Co． Alex Di Pietropaolo 0
0
-1
0
0
0
0
0
0

## Village of Palatine

## Tech－Syn Corp．

American Cleaning American Industrial Svc．Co． B \＆H Blueprint \＆Supply Bergers Industrial Hardware Browns Industrial Uniforms Easy Travel Service

TS* 95 6'9 $^{\prime}$

| 0$n$$n$$n$$n$$n$0000 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| CHECK |
| ---: |
| NUMBER |
| 1965 |
| 1966 |
| 1967 |
| 1968 |
| 1969 |
| 1970 |
| 1971 |
| 1972 |
| 1973 |
| 1974 |
| 1975 |
| 1976 | To Pay Interfund Payables to April 30, 1970

To Pay Interfund Payable

## PAYEE

## The General Camera Co.

 Gibson Electric Co. Graybar Electric Co. Northern Illinois Gas Northwest Electrical Rochester Germicide Co. Standard Oil Co. Culligan Water Conditioning Fleet Air Communications Veto Sales \& Service Imprest Fund Harper Colleg Harper College Educational Fund[^0]
## TO: TREASURER

FROM: BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Page 2 of 2
WILLIAM RAINEY WARPER COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512
PALATINE, ILLINOIS 60067
SITE \& CONSTRUCTION FUND EXPENDITURES -- JUNE 11, 1970

## PAYEE

DESCRIPTION
Instruct. Equip. Reimbursable Furniture
Audio Visual Equipment
Equipment - Oven
Equipment - Press Brake
Arch. Fees - Phase II
Equipment Phase I Moveable
Equipment - Impact Tester - Reimbursable
Law Enforcement Equip. - Reimbursable
guip. Reinbursable

CHECK
NUMBER
Note: Check number 831 approved by Board and issued May 28, 1970.
TO: TREASURER
FROM: BOARD OF TRUSTEES
The above listed checks number 827 to 836 are hereby approved for payment.
Date of Approval: June 11, 1970

Page 1 of 1

$a$

##  <br> 

## $\varepsilon$ эo T əbed




# WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE <br> DISTRICT \#512 <br> PALATINE, ILLINOIS 60067 

## IMPREST FUND DISBURSEMENTS May 5, 1970 to June 2, 1970

PAYEE
Daniel Miller
Christopher Pancratz
Richard Bernstein
Supt. of Documents
Palmer House
Dr. John A. Lucas
Dorores Crane
Postmaster, U.S.,Palatine
Norman Ciskowski
1970 Design Show, Inc.
1970 Design Show, Inc.
Void
City News Bureau of Chgo.
Redmon \& Sons
Dr. Jane Matson
Ray H. Blum \& Associates
Robert L. Nolan
John A. Haas
Robert M. Meany
John R. Birkholz
George H. Voegel
Joyce Fedderson
Mrs. Lee Kolzou
Robert C. Smith
Frances B. Maguire
Jerry Holt
Barbara Kaufman
Jack Fuller
Robert M. Powell
Jack Fuller
David A. Groth
C. Patrick Lewis

Mrs. Susan Reese
Peter Vander Haeghen Jerry Holt
Mrs. Marilyn Swanson
Robert M. Powell

| DESCRIPTION | CHECK <br> NUMBER | CHECK <br> AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary Advance | 8632 | \$ 50.00 |
| Travel Advance | 8633 | 52.00 |
| Travel Advance | 8634 | 20.00 |
| Publication | 8635 | 1.50 |
| N. Central Meeting | 8636 | 61.78 |
| Travel Advance | 8637 | 162.00 |
| Travel Expense 107.29 |  |  |
| Travel Advance (90.00) | 8638 | 17.29 |
| Postage | 8639 | 160.00 |
| Salary Advance | 8640 | 50.00 |
| Registration Fee | 8641 | 20.00 |
| Registration Fee | 8642 | 20.00 |
|  | 8643 |  |
| Membership Fee | 8644 | 50.00 |
| Contractual Serv. (Replace.) | 8645 | 330.00 |
| Consulting | 8646 | 125.00 |
| Athletic Ins. (Replace.) | 8647 | 211.50 |
| Travel Advance | 8648 | 275.00 |
| Travel Expense | 8649 | 359.95 |
| Tuition Refund | 8650 | 21.20 |
| Travel Expense | 8651 | 15.60 |
| Recruiting Expense | 8652 | 8.15 |
| Recruiting Expense | 8653 | 8.50 |
| Recruiting Expense | 8654 | 86.40 |
| Recruiting Expense | 8655 | 14.75 |
| Travel Expense | 8656 | 18.85 |
| Travel Expense | 8657 | 57.00 |
| Travel Expense | 8658 | 16.00 |
| Meeting Expense | 8659 | 9.90 |
| Travel Expense | 8660 | 10.70 |
| Local Travel | 8661 | 9.30 |
| Local Travel | 8662 | 4.30 |
| Travel Expense 90.50 |  |  |
| Travel Advance (84.00) | 8663 | 6.50 |
| Travel Expense | 8664 | 20.40 |
| Recruitment Expense | 8665 | 69.30 |
| Recruitment Expense | 8666 | 42.00 |
| Travel Expense | 8667 | 20.08 |
| Travel Expense | 8668 | 20.08 |


| PAYEE | DESCRIPTION | CHECK <br> NUMBER | CHECK <br> AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. Lee Owens Travel Expense 8669 \$ 17.33 |  |  |  |
| Garland J. Emig | Recruitment Expense | 8670 | 96.60 |
| George H. Voegel | Recruitment Expense | 8671 | 7.50 |
| David A. Groth | Local Travel | 8672 | 58.12 |
| James Gioson, M.D. Void | Honorarium | 8673 | 25.00 |
| Void |  | 8674 |  |
| Larry King | Recruitment Expense | 8675 | 5.60 |
| National Policy Studies | Instructional Supplies | 8676 | 3.90 |
| Marilyn Swanson | Travel Advance | 8677 | 35.00 |
| Patricia L. Connolly | Local Travel | 8678 | 16.00 |
| Terrence J. Comina | Local Travel | 8679 | 24.10 |
| Richard Miller | Local Travel | 8680 | 8.20 |
| Guerin Fischer | Recruitment Expense | 8681 | 22.85 |
| Donald Andries | Travel Expense | 8682 | 17.70 |
| Dr. George H. Voegel | Travel Expense | 8683 | 43.15 |
| Harold Cunningham | Recruitment Expense | 8684 | 3.90 |
| Jean Lytle Susan Neu | Local Travel | 8685 | 61.60 |
| Susan Neu | Local Travel | 8686 | 72.60 |
| John R. Birkholz John H. Upton | Recruitment Expense | 8687 | 10.65 |
| John H. Upton | Dedication Expense | 8688 | 6.00 |
| Betty Gialdini | Travel Expense 118.93 |  |  |
| Charles F. Falk | Travel Advance (100.00) | 8689 | 18.93 |
|  | Local Travel 21.00 <br> Travel Expense 50.53 |  |  |
|  | Travel Advance (50.00) | 8690 | 21.53 |
| John R. Birkholz | Travel Expense 55.75 |  |  |
|  | Travel Advance (50.00) | 8691 | 5.75 |
| E.R.I.C. Document Reprod. Serv. | Travel Expense | 8692 | 39.88 |
| E.R.I.C. Document Reprod. Serv. | Publications | 8693 | 3.58 |
| Supt. of Documents Garnstone Press | Renewal-Subscription | 8694 | 7.50 |
| Garnstone Press | Library Book | 8695 | . 82 |
| Adult Education Assoc. of U.S.A. | Prof. Books | 8696 | 1.50 |
|  | Prof. Books | 8697 | 4.50 |
|  | Subscription | 8698 | 6.00 |
| Friends General Conference Helga Schulz | Library Book | 8699 | . 60 |
| Helga Schulz | Stamps for Mailing | 8700 | 4.98 |
| Conrad Hilton | Recruitment Expense | 8701 | 237.34 |
| The Amer. Geographical Soc. | Referendum Expense | 8702 | 5.00 |
|  | Library Subscription | 8703 | 9.50 |
| Publications Sales Section NEA | Document Resumes | 8704 | 1.00 |
| Beacon Press | Vocational Library | 8605 | 9.75 |
| Holiday Inn of Rolling Meadows | Recruitment Expense | 8706 | 15.75 |
| Mr. Mark A.bernethy | Honorarium | 8707 | 25.00 |
| E.C.Brown Center for Family 25.00 |  |  |  |
| Studies | Library Book | 8708 | 1.80 |

## PAYEE

Holiday Inn of Rolling Meadows Kinish Village Florist Regional Educa. Lab. for the Carolina's \& Virginia
Paul Powell, Sec. of State
University of Michigan
Pharmacy-Holy Family Hosp.
Law Officer
Mary F. Klein
Carolyn J. Brandt
Ralph C. McBride
Guerin Fischer
Robert E. Lahti
The Johnson Ins. Agency
Central Florida Jr. College
Athletic Department
Jennifer Edwards
Karen Tillotson
Susan Davidson
Maria Hoar
Willard Thomen
Rich Greene
Karen Zajac
Joan Humphreys
Void
Mrs. Fred Nicklas
Edward A. Goodwin
Patricia L. Connolly
John R. Birkholz
Dr. George H. Voegel
G. P. Makas

Lloyd F. Wilkes
Am. Assoc. of Jr. Colleges
Donald M. Misic
Donald M. Misic
Guerin Fischer
The Horseshoe Club
American Express
William Enzenbacher
Indestructo Awning Co.
Thomas M. Barfield
Welfare Council of Metro.
DESCRIPTION

Recruiting Expense Flowers

Publications
Notary Fee
Prof. Books
Inst. Supplies
Subscription
Tuition Refund
Tuition Refund
Tuition Refund
Seminar Expense
Travel Advance
Notory Bond
Entry Fee
Travel Advance
Honorarium
Honorarium
Honorarium
Honorarium
Honorarium
Honorarium
Salary Advance
Travel Expense 61.28
Travel Advance
Travel Advance
Local Travel
Travel Expense
Travel Advance
Travel Expense
Travel Expense
Travel Expense
Workshop Dr. Fuller
Travel Expense
Travel Expense
Travel Expense
Meeting Expense
Travel Expense
Salary Advance
Partial Payment-Graduation
Game Room Supplies
Reservation for Dr. Fuller
CHECK
NUMBER

| 8709 | $\$ 16.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 8710 | 15.00 |
| 8711 | 5.00 |
| 8712 | 5.00 |
| 8713 | 5.00 |
| 8714 | 26.00 |
| 8715 | 8.00 |
| 8716 | 6.00 |
| 8717 | 29.00 |
| 8718 | 6.60 |
| 8719 | 11.25 |
| 8720 | 150.00 |
| 8721 | 8.00 |
|  |  |
| 8722 | 20.00 |
| 8723 | 70.00 |
| 8724 | 60.00 |
| 8725 | 60.00 |
| 8726 | 60.00 |
| 8727 | 60.00 |
| 8728 | 60.00 |
| 8729 | 60.00 |
| 8730 | 50.00 |
| 8731 | $--0-0$ |

11.28
42.00
16.00
35.79
10.90
48.34
21.00
100.00
56.06
10.50
9.30
88.45
196.22
50.00
200.00
12.00
6.00

| RAYEE | DESCRIPTION | CHECK <br> NUMBEF | CHECK <br> AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postmaster U.S., Palatine Dr. John A. Lucas | Postage for Meter <br> Travel Expense $\quad 166.00$ | 8749 | \$1,000.00 |
|  | Travel Advance (162.00) | 8750 | 4.00 |
| E. A. Goodwin | Travel Expense 70.85 |  |  |
|  | Travel Advance (40.00) | 8751 | 30.85 |
| Jay Singelmann | Travel Expense (37.90 |  |  |
|  | (12.00 | 8752 | 49.90 |
| Dan Davis <br> Thomas H. Anderson | Travel Expense | 8753 | 7.70 |
| Thomas H. Anderson Mrs. Lee Kolzou | Travel Expense | 8754 | 4.20 |
| Mrs. Lee Kolzou | Recruitment Expense | 8755 | 86.10 |
|  | Travel Expense | 8756 | 61.76 |
| Roger A. Mussell | Recruitment Expense | 8757 | 9.00 |
| Irvin A. Smith III | Travel Expense | 8758 | 35.50 |
| Janet Savin | Travel Expense | 8759 | 20.83 |
| R.E. Lahti, Petty Cash | Ex | 8760 | 20.08 |
| James W. Bentley | Recruitment Expen | 8761 | 166.21 |
| Dr. C. H. Schauer | Travel Expense 76.62 | 8762 | 68.00 |
|  | Travel Advance (75.00) | 8763 | 1.62 |
| Robert R. Zilkowski | Travel Expense | 8764 | 31.00 |
| Omar L. Olson | Meeting Expense | 8765 | 62.30 |
| Lter D. Klingenberg David A. Groth | Travel Expense | 8766 | 17.00 |
| David A. Groth | Adm. Seminar | 8767 | 6.70 |
| ana Friedland | Travel Expense | 8768 | 10.18 |
| Joseph D. Mandarino Renie Remelski | Travel Expense | 8769 | 9.70 |
| Mr. Edward Hill | Local Travel | 8770 | 10.70 |
| Mr. Edward Hill | Recruitment Expense | 8771 | 79.80 |
| Joseph Yohanan | Local Travel | 8772 | 48.55 |
| Barbara Kaufman Charles F. Falk | Local Travel | 8773 | 16.00 |
| Charles F. Falk | Local Travel | 8774 | 30.55 |
| Roger A. Mussell | Local Travel | 8775 | 26.00 |
|  | Local Travel | 8776 | 35.10 |
| Mr . Joseph Bauer Doris A. Pigg | Travel Advance | 8777 | 125.00 |
| Doris A. Pigg Sally M. Soule | Tuition Refund | 8778 | 17.40 |
| Sally M. Soule | Tuition Refund | 8779 | 16.00 |
| Atlas Matl. Handling Equip.Inc. The Horseshoe club | Vehicle Exp. | 8780 | 2.00 |
| The Horseshoe Club | Meeting Expense | 8781 | 85.65 |
| Applied Psychology Assoc. Joseph Mandarino | Publications | 8782 | 17.00 |
| American Council on E | Travel Advance | 8783 | 180.00 |
| Postmaster U.S., Palatine | ublication | 8784 | 3.50 |
| Rolley C. Bateman | Postage Due | 8785 | 100.00 |
| Mr. W. J. Vohaska | Contractual | 8786 | 3.40 |
|  | Entry fee for Track | 8787 | 5.00 |

PAYEE
Council of Govern. of cook cty

Appleton-Century-Crofts
American Forestry Associ.
AFL-CIO Sec.-Treasurer
Donovan C. Wachlin
Kroch's and Brentannos
Organization Renewal, Inc.
American Data Processing, Inc.
William H. Miller
Audio Visual Instruction
Barnes \& Noble, Inc.
Educational \& Industrial
Testing Service
Dr. Frank Vandever
University of Illinois
Concordia Publishing House
Am.Assoc. of Jr. Colleges
Family Serv.Assoc. of America
Medbom, Book Finders
Postmaster U.S., Palatine
National Directory Service
Twayne Publishers, Inc.
Basic Books, Inc.
The Psychological Corp.
The National Council of
Teachers of English
The Journal of the American
Dental Hygientists
Jossey-Bass,Inc. Publishers
George Makas
Walter R. Schillinger
Audio Visual Center
Inst. Media Center- Michigan State University
The Pennsylvania State Univ.
Learning Resources Service
Pre-Retirement Train. Inst.
Harold Thompson
Al Porteus
Mrs. Betty Saunders
Roy Kearns

| DESCRIPTION | CHECK <br> NUMBER | CHECK AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Membership Fee | 8788 | \$ 75.00 |
| Publications | 8789 | 6.18 |
| Books | 8790 | 7.10 |
| Film Rental | 8791 | 3.00 |
| Instructional Supplies | 8792 | 8.99 |
| Prof. Books | 8793 | 8.52 |
| Adm. Siminar Film | 8794 | 33.75 |
| Prof. Books | 8795 | 25.00 |
| Meeting Expense | 8796 | 4.70 |
| Film Rental | 8797 | 7.25 |
| Books | 8798 | 5.18 |
| Voc. Library | 8799 | 7.68 |
| A-V Materials | 8800 | 10.65 |
| Library Subscription | 8801 | 8.00 |
| Library Books | 8802 | 4.14 |
| Publications | 8803 | 4.50 |
| Library Books | 8804 | 5.10 |
| Library Books | 8805 | 6.20 |
| Postage-Final Grades | 8806 | 289.14 |
| Publications \& Dues | 8807 | 5.07 |
| Books | 8808 | 9.37 |
| Library Books | 8809 | 6.98 |
| Vocational Library | 8810 | 8.50 |
| Books | 8811 | 2.15 |
| Want Ad | 8812 | 9.40 |
| Voc. Library | 8813 | 8.89 |
| Travel Expense | 8814 | 48.83 |
| Travel Expense | 8815 | 65.30 |
| Film Rental | 8816 | 4.64 |
| Film Rental | 8817 | 2.38 |
| Film Rental | 8818 | 9.65 |
| Film Rental | 8819 | 2.50 |
| Publications | 8820 | 15.00 |
| Entry Fee | 8821 | 15.00 |
| Telephone Exp. | 8822 | 17.00 |
| Contractual Services | 8823 | 35.00 |
| Travel Expense 356.42 |  |  |
| Travel Advance (347.00) | 8824 | 9.42 |

IMPREST FUND DISBURSEMENTS

| PAYEE | DESCRIPTION | CHECK <br> NUMBEF | CHECK <br> AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dolores Crane | Travel Expense 238.98 |  |  |
|  | Travel Advance (210.00) | 8825 | \$ 28.98 |
| Change Magazine | Subscription | 8826 | 16.50 |
| University of Ill. Inter- |  |  |  |
| library Loan | Resumes | 8827 | 1.10 |
| Family Doctor Publications | Library Book | 8828 | 6.31 |
| F. M. Darrow | Library Books | 8829 | 1.50 |
| Financial World Book Division | Library Books | 8830 | 2.75 |
| Prof. Publications Producers | Library Books | 8831 | 1.50 |
| Humanist | Library Subscription | 8832 | 4.50 |
| The New Republic | Library Record | 8833 | 4.98 |
| Int. Scientific Com.of the Fam. | Library Books | 8834 | 5.20 |
| David Wold | Salary Advance | 8835 | 150.00 |
| Karin Losch | Salary Advance | 8836 | 45.00 |
| Christopher Raines | Salary Advance | 8837 | 40.00 |
| Richard Lisec | Tuition Refund | 8838 | 8.50 |
| Karen Keres | Salary Advance | 8839 | 500.00 |
|  |  |  | 202.49 |

Reimbursement:
Educational Fund
Building Fund
Auxiliary Fund
\$8,017.92

Trust \& Agency Fund
222.10
\$9,202.49

# WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 512 <br> PALATINE, ILLINOIS 60067 

## To: Treasurer

## From: Board of Trustees

## Subject: Approval of Estimated Payroll Expenditures June l, 1970 to July 31, 1970

The following estimated payrolls in the amount of $\$ 692,000.00$ are hereby authorized for payment:

```
June l to 15, 1970
    Regular Payroll
        $173,000.00
June l6 to 30, 1970
    Regular Payroll $173,000.00
July l to 15, 1970
    Regular Payroll $173,000.00
July 16 to 3l, }197
    Regular Payroll
    $173,000.00
    $692,000.00
```

Date of Approval: June 11, 1970


Mr. Wilson was contacted as to nature of presentation, and we were told it was in response to Mrs. Pointer's statement to the Board of Trustees at the May $14 t^{\prime} 1$ meeting.

He asked for three or four minutes of the Board's time.

I feel that Mrs. Pointer's comments of a few weeks ago cannot go unchallenged. I, too, have no children at Harper, my children are young adults who have already completed college. I also am a taxpayer of this community who has supported Harper College from the time of it's inception and helped to elect the Bd. of Trustees. My real estate tax falls into the average to above average range and I feel the taxes of 30 to 40 dollars paid out of this to Harper College are minimal in comparison to the education the students derive from this facility.

I believe that this school and any school of higher learning belorgs to the students and is not here for the glorification of the community, the Board, the President, or the faculty. Our investment through tax dollars is in the product of the institution, which is the education of the students. not the bricks and mortar. The faculty plays the important role to gain this end. Just as in business, their suggestions and inovations should be readily received and acknowledged--for any good business longs for suggestions from their employees to better their product and their profit and at no time is an employee in jeopardy of his job when he brings in a constructive criticism or an enlightened suggestion.

The criticism was made that the students wanted their representative elected to the Board--this is not factual. This was the young man's own decision to run for the board. He was elected by approx. a 3000 majority over the incumbent in what was probably one of the largest voter turn-outs in the history of this district, roughly 17000 voters. These voters were all adults. To any who have attended other board meetings. I think it is obvious that this articulate young man has been and is an outstanding member. of this Board. To the coffee-klatcher's who fail to attend Bd. meetings \& who criticize without complete knowledge--I would ask that they spend a few hours of their time each month to hear the issues that come before the board, administration, faculty and students.

In response to certain objections to the lowering of the flag to half mast in memory of 4 . Kent State students who were slain. I agree that opinions are greatly divided. I, for one, who is a veteran with 16 years of service, have no objection to this act as long as this is done with dignity and respect--which in my opinion the students accomplished through the democratic process. I too, feel pity for the young National Guard Troops who were sent to Kent State, many of whom were as young or younger than the students they faced and I'm sure there were enough recruits involved in this who did not have sufficient training to enable them to cope with the situation. But a man with a bayonneted rifle, loaded or unloaded hould be more than a match for an unarmed person and I feel there was no excuse for the firing of their weapons. Male no mestes, $\ln$ en no usy viardine.

I feel that the faculty member who stated that this is a conservative community who does not support the school and who never has, had a valid point, for until a few weeks ago, there were seldom more than 3 persons attending these Bd. meetings other than Harper faculty and students and therefore the general population has little knowledge of what confronts the board and faculty in the operation of this school and until the general pop. takes this interest--how canthey knowledgeably support or not support the school? I do not feel that the faculty has any intention or. desire to dictate to the administration or the board. I do not want a rubber stamp faculty, administration or board. Honest dissent when necessary, and good honest communication can only lead to a positive position.


Tuesday, June 9, 1970
2 sections, 16 pages


The Republican Organization of Schaumburg Township (ROOST) attacked Harper College students, faculty and board for flying the American flag at half-staff last month.

ROOST's exceutive board unanimously passed a resolution condemning "the forcible lowering of the flag to half mast" by some students and faculty members and "castigated the Harper Board for condoning the action officially after the fact," last week.
(The flag was lowered to half-mast by students following the shooting deaths of four Kent State students in Ohio.)
"What we're concerned about is the use of physical force and threats of violence to debase our national symbol," said" Donald .L. Totten, GOP committeeman.
"And we're also disgusted with the college board members who approved the action against the wishes of the community they are supposed to represent.
"THE RESOLUTION defends the right of each individual to protest peacefully. We have no quarrel with minorities who democratically express their points of view in demonstrations, marches, parades and what-have-you, provided force and violence are not used to accomplish symbolic ends," Totten said.
The text of the resolution states:
"Whereas the Republican Organization of Schaumburg Township recognizes,
supports and defends the right of individuals and groups to protest peacefully the actions of their representatives,
"And whereas we also support the right of faculty members of public institutions to participate in political activity as individuals,
"And whereas we further deplore the use of physical or coercive force to debase national symbols,
"And whereas it is our contention that public representatives should reflect the majority opinion of their constituency,
"BE IT THEREFORE resolved that ROOST go on record as abhoring the recent debasing of the American flag by an irresponșible minority of Harper College students, the active lack of objectivity displayed by a small group of Harper College faculty in participating in that activity, and the lack of moral fibre dis. played by the Harper College Board in officially condoning this use of force against the expressed wishes of the community which it represents."
Totten said the flag at Harper flies not only for the students, faculty and administrators, but for the taxpayers who support the college as well.
"Regrettable as the incident which triggered the action may have been, by statute it is the President alone who may order the half-staffing of the flag. The vast majority of Harper area citizens support this procedure, and the college board does wrong if it ignores this fact," Totten said.

THE COMMITTEEMAN also deplored the increasing violence associated with student protests throughout the nation. "This is an extremely dangerous trend, for force breeds force and violence, violence. Protestors must realize that they are not the only ones who can get uptight. The New York construction workers are a good illustration of that," Totten said.
He added that if the trend is not stopped, polarization and civil strife will result. "Students should not be mere followers and me-tooers of radicals, revolutionaries and rabblerousers, whose sole aim is to tear down, not build up.
"If they speak calmly and dispassionately, we'll listen, and we hope they'll return the courtesy. Understanding is a two-way street," Totten , said.

June 5, 1970

Dr. Robert E. Lahti, President William Rainey Harper College Algonquin \& Roselle Roads Palatine, Illinois 60067


Dear Dr. Lahti:


I appreciate receiving your letter of agreement concerning the NEC Data Processing Project. It will be presented to our Governing Board on June l3 for their review. We feel very fortunate to have the opportunity to continue to work with Harper College in this new project.

NEC is currently in the process of hiring a director for the program. He will work in close cooperation with Mr. Sedrel to coordinate the activities of NEC's project with the ongoing programs and schedules of the college.

Mr. Sedrel has been extremely helpful to all of us throughout the planning phases of the new program. I would like to convey to you our appreciation of his outstanding services in this function as well as in directing the area's cooperative program over the past years.

Sincerely,
Gloria Kinney
Gloria Kinney
Executive Director

GK/lk

ROBERT C. CObERLEY, D.D.S.
1300 EAST CENTRAL ROAD
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, ILLINOIS 60005

## Telephono 253-4626

```
Dr. Robert Lahti, President
William Rainey Harper Iunior Collece
Algonquin and Roselle Roads
Palatine, Illinois,
60067
```

Dear Dr. Lahti,
We are proud and pleased to tell you that the
following resolutions was 'unantmously adopted by our
members at out last meeting:
WHERDAS:
The Administration and Board of Trustees of Harper
College had the forsight to see the noel for, a Dential
nyciene School in their college
ATD wereas:
They sar fit to hire competent personnel for the
faculty of the Dental Hodiene Departmont

## AND MIEREAS:

The community is in need of more competent dental hycienists

## AD MEREAS:

The Pirst class of ITarper's Dental Iyutene students passed all sections of all parts of the Mational Board of Dental Hysierie.

MHEIT EE IT RESOLVED:
That the Officers and The Board of Directors of the Northrest Dental Study Club commend the students of the first dental hyciene class for their proficiency.

Gres BE IM RESOEUED:

- That the faculty be commened for their accomplishments. .. AND DE IM RURMHER REMOLNED:

That the administration and Board of Trustees be thanked $\hat{\text { or }}$ their aid to the community.

## ROBERT C. COBERLEY, D.D.S.

1300 EAST CENTRAL ROAD
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, ILLINOIS 60005

## Telephone 253-4626

## 2.

The members of Northwest Dental Study Fino wish the Dental Irciene Department contimed success in its procrams and please our cooperation in achievement of its goals.


RECEIVED
JUNO 1970


May 28, 1970

Dr. Guerin Fischer
Dean of Guidance
Harper College
Algonquin \& Roselle Roads
Palatine, Illinois
Dear Dr. Fischer:
After much thought and deliberation, I have reached the decision to resign from my position as developmental counselor at Harper College.

This decision was difficult to make for a number of reasons: (1) In working with students both this semester and last, they have presented a tremendous challenge. By using what I learned during the Fall semester and committing myself to make the most of it, I have experienced success and it has been extremely rewarding. (2) The Counseling Staff consists of very competent and personable people and it has been a pleasure to work with them. (3) I respect and hold in high regard both you and Joan Roloff. Your leadership has influenced me greatly. (4) The Developmental Staff members are all deeply committed to their work and are starting to function as a closely knit team. (5) The new counselors coming in seem to be equally competent and committed to giving and sharing.

Since I was so vocal in voicing my dissatisfaction with being in the Developmental Program during the Fall semester, I want to make it clear that my attitude has changed greatly and dissatisfaction with the program is not the basis on which I have made my decision. If I were to continue to work next year, I would definitely want to be a developmental counselor at Harper College.

In closing, Harper has afforded me many opportunities to grow as a person and as a professional counselor. For this I am extremely grateful. I would like to take this opportunity to thank you and the rest of the Administration, the Board, the Faculty and the students for giving me the opportunity to be, to do, and to become.

Sincerely,

c.c. Dr. James Harvey Joan Roloff

Employment of a Counselor (Assistant Professor), an Assistant Professor of Chemistry and Mathematics, an Instructor of Secretarial Science, an Instructor of Dental Hygiene, and an Instructor of Business Administration.

## REASON FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD:

To fill the vacancies in these instructional areas which are necessary to Harper's educational program.

## BACKGROUND INFORMA TION:

The Counseling position is a replacement. The ChemistryMathematics position is new. The Secretarial Science, Dental Hygiene, and Business Administration positions are replacement positions.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Employment of:

1. Robert Moriarty as Counselor with the Academic Rank of Assistant Professor at \$12,000 for the 1970-71 Academic Year - 39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970.
2. Michael Oester as Assistant Professor of Chemistry and Mathematics for the 1970-71 Academic Year - 39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970 at $\$ 10,200$, contingent upon receipt of PhD . by September 1, 1970, otherwise Instructor of Chemistry and Mathematics at a salary of \$9, 200.
3. Mary Ann Mickina as Instructor of Secretarial Science for the 1970-71 Academic Year - 39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970, at \$10, 750.
4. Elizabeth Ann Pawlak as Instructor of Dental Hygiene at \$9, 200 for the 1970-71 Academic Year - 39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970.
5. Gerald R. Tapp as Instructor of Business Administration at \$13,000 for the 1970-71 Academic Year - 39 weeks, effective September 8, 1970.

BOARD INFORMATION SHEET WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE AREA Student Affairs

CANDIDATE

## FIELD

PREPARATION
(Degree, School,
Semester Hours in Subject Field)

MAJOR AREAS

TEACHING EXPERIENCE
(If applicable)
Dates and positions

OTHER EXPERIENCE

HONORS AND
DISTINCTIONS

PERSONAL
(Age, Marital Status, Children, Address)

RANK \& SALARY
RECOMMENDED BY (Signatures)

Robert Moriarty
Counseling.
B.A. English, St. Mary's College, 1963128
M.S. Counseling, Northern Illinois, 1967

Ed.D. Counselor Educ., Northern Illinois 50
Expected August 197o. Orals schedule for July 25 th.

Marmion Military Academy 1963-1966 English
Dundee Community High School _1966-1967 Counselor Northern _U_ IJnois Univ. 1969-1970. Head. Resident Advisor

## Illinois State Scholarship

Woodrow Wilson Fellow
Who's Who In American Colleges and Universities
Phi Delta Kappa


Assistant Professor $\$ 12000$ _ 39 weeks

## Sum a taches



## WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE

## PERSONNEL DATA FORM FOR RECOMMENDATICN TO BOARD OF TRUSTEES

CANDIDATE

FIELD (Suggested Teaching Area)

PREPARATION
(Degree, School, Year
Received \& Semester
Hours in reaching Field)

HOURS BEYOND HIGHEST DEGREE IN TEACHING FIEID

MAJOR AREAS

Michael Oester
Chemistry -Mathematics _ Mathematics/Physical DIVİSION Science
B.S. 1963: Notre Dame 50 sem. hours
M.S., 1967; Notre Dame 36 sem. hours

72 hours, anticipates PHD in August, 1970

Organic Chemistry

EXPERIENCE IN EDUCATION Sept 1963-June 1965; Notre Dame; Grad Tchg Assist (Dates, Locations, Positions, etc.)

OTHER EXPERIENCE

HONORS \&
DISTINCTIONS

PERSONAL
(Age, Marital Status, Children, Address. Etc.)
\$10,200-Ass't Prof Chem \& Math; Contingent PHD Sept 1, 1970
RANK \& SALARY
RECOMMENDED BY
Summer, 1960; Lab Tech; Chicago Bio Research Labs
Summer, 1962; Lab Tech; Chicago Bio Research Labs
Summer, 1964; Lab Tech; Toni Cosmetic Labs

American Chem Society; Publications appear in
Journal of the mer. Chem. Society, 1959 and Journal of Organic Chem; 1967. \$ 9,200-Instruactor Chemistry \& Mathematics
$\qquad$

## WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE

PERSONNEL DATA FORM FOR RECOMMENDATICIN TO BOARD OF TRUSTEES


HOURS BEYOND HIGHEST DEGREE IN TEACHING FIEID

MAJOR AREAS
None
Business Education / Secretarial Science

EXPERIENCE IN EDUCATION (Dates, Locations, Positions, etc:)

OTHER EXPERIENCE

HONORS \&
DISTINCTIONS

PERSONAL
(Age, Marital Status, Children, Address. Etc.)

RANK \& SALARY
RECOMMENDED BY



[^1]
## WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER C(ILLEGE

## PERSONNEL DATA FORM FOR RECOMMENDATICIN IO BOARD OF TRUSTEES

CANDIDATE

FIELD (Suggested Teaching Area)

## PREPARATION

(Degree, School, Year Received \& Semester
Hours in reaching Field)

HOURS BEYOND HIGHEST
DEGREE IN TEACHING FIEID

MAJOR AREAS

Tapp, Gerald R.

Business Administration Business
B.S. in Management \& Marketing, Bhi\&etsity of Ill., 1949. ( 76 hours of Bus. Admin and Economics) MS., Ed., Univ. of Ill., 1964. (16 hours of Ed., Mktg. 4; Bus. Ed. 12)
Additional Work, Northwestern Univ. 1949-55. (l2 graduate hours; 10 in Bus. Admin., 2 in History. 8 additional undergraduate hours, 4 in Bus. Admin.) Additional work
Roosevelt Univ., 1958-59. (15 additional undergraduate hours. 12 in education, 3 in management) Additional work Utah State Univ., 1968. (3 graduate hours in Bus. Ed.)

Marketing \& Distributive Education

EXPERIENCE IN EDUCATION (Dates, Locations, Positions, etc.)

OTHER EXPERIENCE

## HONORS \&

DISTINCTIONS

PERSONAL
(Age, Marital Status, Children. Address. Etc.)

RANK \& SALARY

RECOMMENDED BY
D. E. Coordinator, Waller H.S., Chicago, 2/61-6/63.
D. F. Coordinator Willowbrook H. S., Villa Park, Ill.,

6/63-6/65.

Consultant, Ma'rketing \& Distributive Occupations, Illinois
Board of Vocational Education 7/65-6/70. Mis. Bus. experience, 11 years 11/49-2/61.
Phi Eta Sigma, Sigma Iota Epsilon, Delta Pi Epsilon (Honorary Fraternities.)
Numerous publications, see other side.

Instyactor in Business Administration, \$13,000.


## Publications:

Tapp, Gerald R., "A Small Shop Owner In A Modern Shopping Center", Illinois Vocational Progress, Volume XVIII, No. 2, November, 1960.
Tapp, Gerald R., Rath, Patricia Mink, Mason, Ralph E., "Case Studies in Marketing and Distribution", Danville, Illinois: The Interstate Printers \& Publishers, Inc., 1965.
Tapp, Gerald R., "Distributive Education in Surnmer?", Illinois Vocational Progress, Volume XXIII, No. 4, April, 1966.
Tapp, Gerald R., "Cooperation" ị the Key Word", Illinois Vocational ProgressVolume XXIV, Nọ, 3, February, 1967.
Tapp, Gerald R., "Headstart DE", Americạn Vocational Journal, Vol. 44, No. 5, May 1969.

## FOR BOARD ACTION

SUBJECT:

Employment of a Graphics Design Coordinator

REASON FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD:

The Learning Resources Center is in need of a Graphics Design Coordinator to fulfill its obligations to the instructional area of the College. This is a replacement for the candidate, Mr. Jerry C. Holt, to whom a contract was offered for this position at the May 14,1970 Board of Trustees Meeting.

BA CKGROUND INFORMATION:

After further review of candidates for the position Mr. Alfred Dunikoski is being selected as the recommended candidate for the Graphics Design Coordinator position.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Employment of Mr. Alfred Dunikoski as Graphics Design Coordinator effective August 15, 1970 through June 30, 1971, for $\$ 12,690.00$ (annual rate of $\$ 14,500.00$ ) with the Academic Rank of Instructor.
cardidate

POSITION
PREPARATION
iDegree, School
Year Received \&
Semester Hours in Subject Field)

MAJOR AREAS

TEACHING EXPERIENCE (Dates of Positions)

WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE
DIVISION OF Instructional Services - L.R.C.
Alfred Dunikoski
Graphics Design Coordinator
B.A. - Univ. of Maryland - Jan., 1968
M.S. in Ed. - Indiana Univ - Aug., 1970

Instructional Systems Technology - Graphics
Minor - Radio Television

None
$\qquad$

1948-1956 - USAF - Drafting \& Illustrator Positions
1957-1969 - USAF- Graphics Presentation Supervisor for SAC and Defense Com'ns Agency; Illustrator Supervisor,

Nat'l Military Com'd System Support Center.
i:ONORS \&
חTSTINCTIONS

PERSONAL
(Age, Marital Status, Children, Address)

RANK \& SALARY
RECOMMENDED BY


FOR OTHER INFORMATION AND REMARKS PLEASE USE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM.

## I. PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE SALARY RANGES

| Position | Minimum | Mid-Point | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vice President's | 18,400 | 23,000 | 27,600 |
| Deans | 17,850 | 21,000 | 24,150 |
| Directors and <br> Division Chairmen | 16,150 | 19,000 | 21,850 |
| Assistant Directors | 12,900 | 15,200 | 17,480 |

## II. MERIT INCREASE SCALE

| Percent | Interpretation <br> 6.5 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Maintain position - cost of living <br> (one year to improve) |  |
| 8.6 | Needs improvement (marginal) |
| 8.5 |  |
| 10.9 | Satisfactory Performance |
| 11.0 | Above Average Performance |
| 13.4 |  |
| 13.5 | Meritorious by Exception |
| + |  |

III. FRINGE BENEFITS (Effective July 1, 1970)
A. Biennium physical examinations up to $\$ 150.00$ (President, Vice President's Deans, Directors and Division Chairmen only)
B. Professional expense allowance up to $\$ 200.00$ yearly (President, Vice President's, Deans only)
C. Short-term leaves or sabatical by exception and special request through appropriate administrator to the Board of Trustees (Application priviledge after high average performance on behalf of Harper College)

## IV. TOTAL DOLLAR REQUEST

A. Total dollar request for administrative salaries and adjustments 1970-71 - $\$ 64,500$

```
    Approval of The Harper College - Chicago Commons
    Association (Camp Reinberg) College Work Study
    Off Campus Agreement.
    July l, 1970 - June 30, 1971
```

REASON FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD:

The Employment Agreement is a contract between Harper College and a non-profit community agency.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The College Work Study - Off Campus Program is funded $80 \%$ by federal funds and $20 \%$ by The Off Campus Institution. The program is designed to involve students more in Community Outreach Activities by offering them opportunities to assist "Non-profit" community agencies. The attached agreement was developed with the board attorney using the guidelines provided by the HEW office and copies of programs used by other institutions of higher education. Contacts for further programs have been made with Little City, Clearbrook Center for the Handicapped, The Illinois State Scholarship Commission, The Illinois Youth Commission, and The Countryside Y.M.C.A. Harper would serve as the funneling agency for the federal funds under this program. These programs would provide Harper College students with opportunities to become active in helping social service agencies work with the handicapped, deprived, and other needy segments of our society.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Adoption of the Harper College - Chicago Commons Association Off Campus College Work Study Agreement.

INSTITUTION-AGENCY AGREEMENT FOR EMPLOYMENT OF STUDENTS UNDER
THE COLLEGE WORK-STUDY PROGRAM

This agreement is entered into between William Rainey Harper Community College, hereinafter known as the "Institution" and Chic qa Moves Aisicinfun) hereinafter known as the "Agency" a Peuvatie Welfare. nonprofit organization for the purpose of provideing work to students eligible to participate in the college Work-Study Program from July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971.

Schedules to be attached to this agreement from time to time, bearing the signature of an authorized official of the institution, and of the Agency, will set forth brief descriptions of the work to be performed by students under this agreement, $1 /$ the total number of students to be employed, the hourly rates of pay, and the average number of hours per week each student will be utilized during the period of time covered by the agreement. 2/ The institution will inform the agency Of the maximum number of hours per week a student may work during the summer, or other period of nonregular enrollment.

Footnotes
I/ In accordance with the requirements of the Federal program, work to be performed under this agreement must be work in the public interest, which (l) will not result in the misplacement of the employed workers or impair existing contracts for services (2) will be governed by such conditions of employment, including compensation, as will be appropriate $\dot{幺} \div \dot{c}$ reasonable in light of such factors as type of work performed, geogr. . cal region and proficiency of the employee, and (3) does not invo: $\cdot$, he construction, operation, or maintenance of so much of any ㅋ..i: $-y$ as is used, or is to be used, for sectarian instruction or as
ice for religious worship. Further, no project may involve politiactivity $\therefore r$ work for any political party.

Students will be made available to the Agency by the institution for performance of specific work assignments. Students may be removed from work on a particular assignment or from the Agency by the Institution either on its own initiative or at the request of the Agency. The Acency agrecs that no student will be denied work or subjected to different treatment under this agreement on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, and that it will comply with the provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ( $\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{L} . \mathrm{88-352;} \mathrm{Stat} .\mathrm{252} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{regulations}$ of the Department of Health. Education, and Welfare, which implement that Act. The Agercy will be deemed the employer of students participating in any project under this agreement. It will be responsible for the direct supervision of the work performed and will maintain and and make available to the Institution the names and qualifications of the Agency supervisors. The Agency will provide to the Institution a record of the hours worked weekly by each student as attested to by an official of the Agency.

Compensation of the students for work performed under this agreement will be paid by the Institution. The Agency will pay $20 \%$ of the student's compensation and any and all payments required to be made under Federal Social Security laws.
$J$ The, Agency shall have the Institution named as co-insured on the agency's liability insurance policies (which policies have been reviewed by the Institution and found acceptable as to coverage and limits), and further, the Agency agrees to idemnify, save harmless, and defend the Institution, the Board of Trustees and its members, and the officers, and employees thereof, against all suits, actions, legal proceedings, claims and demands and against all damages, losses, costs and expenses, and attorneys' fees in any manner caused by, arising from, incidental to, and/or connected with, or growing out of the performance of this agreement.

Termination of this agreement shall be accomplished by either party given at least 30 days advance notice in writing of such cancellation. Any amendment with respect to changes or alterations in this agreement must be made in writing and attached herewith, executed by both parties.

## Footnotes continued

2/ Students may work an average of 15 hours per week during a semester while classes are in session. They may work up to 40 hours per week when classes are not meeting during that week.


Authorizing Official Agency $\qquad$
(Signature)

Authorizing Official Institution $\qquad$

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me this $\qquad$ day of
$\qquad$ 19 $\qquad$

Notary Public

# June 2, 1970 

To: Dr. Robert E. Lahti, President

From: ${ }^{W} \boldsymbol{W}^{J}$. Mann, Vice-President of Business Affairs<br>Subject: Conversion of Financial Accounting System to Data Processing

Please find attached a proposal from Ernst \& Ernst concerning the conversion of our accounting system. It appears that Ernst \& Ernst conducted an analysis of our computer system at the same time I requested the attached interim report. Some confusion has resulted from these reports being completed concurrently.

About two years ago I requested and received approval from the Board to have Ernst \& Ernst consult with us concerning the conversion process. At that time an overall plan was established with Ernst \& Ernst. During the past two years we have worked with Ernst \& Ernst. Copies of various proposals that were implemented are attached. The reason I called Ernst \& Ernst at this time was to review our progress and check internal controls on our interim payroll system. Tom Conroy, of Ernst \& Ernst, spent several days reviewing our progress. Mr . Conroy, Mr. Dolejs and I spent an afternoon covering our needs and progress to date. During our discussions, we concluded that a major effort was necessary in order to convert to data processing during 1970-71.

The conclusion of my discussions with Tom Conroy, of Ernst \& Ernst, on April 19, 1970, was that I requested a firm proposal to develop a specific plan that would speed up our conversion to data processing. I subsequently discussed and clarified this with Mr. Butts, of Ernst \& Ernst, and the attached proposal is a result of our work with Ernst \& Ernst over the past two years.

I recommend we accept the attached proposal by Ernst \& Ernst to assist us in our conversion to data processing, in order to fully utilize the potential benefits of the computer in the financial area.

## WJM:jg

cc: A. Dolejs
R. Sedrel

# ERNST\&ERNST <br> 231 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET 

CHICAGO, ILL. 60604

May 12, 1970

Mr. William Mann<br>Vice President-Financial Affairs William Rainey Harper College Algonquin and Rossell Roads Palatine, Illinois 60067

Dear Mr. Mann:

As you requested, we have completed a brief review of the present computerized payroll system and the proposed computerized voucher and accounts payable system of William Rainey Harper College. Our review was primarily concerned with the adequacy of the internal controls within the existing and proposed system; we did not undertake to examine the programs within existing systems. This review was conducted at the same time that a review was made of proposed additional computer hardware. Our review of the hardware proposal is covered in our letter to Dr. Lahti, President of the College, dated April 17, 1970. Some of the comments which follow parallel those noted in the aforementioned letter.

FINDINGS
General:

There is no documentation which explicitly defines the long-range plans and overall system concepts in the financial management area, to serve as a foundation upon which detail systems will be based. Certain generalized system flow charts have been developed which portray a financial management system for operating in an "on-line, real-time" environment. While such an environment is desirable in such systems as registration or demographic analysis, there is reason to question the need or value of such an environment in the financial management systems area. Financial information for control over the College's business affairs is rarely, if ever, needed more frequently than that of natural accounting periods. When allocations of funds and budget standards are established for discreet accounting periods, any comparisons for shorter periods are of questionable need or value. In addition, operating costs of such "on-line, real-time" systems are frequently greater than those systems employing more conventional reporting methods.

Mr. William Mann<br>William Rainey Harper College

May 12, 1970
Page No. 2

Accounts Payable Controls:
Since, as noted above, the accounts payable systems have not been specifically defined and detailed processing procedures were not available for review, we cannot comment on the adequacy of the controls that may subsequently be developed. Controls over input and output in the envisioned "on-line, real-time" environment require extensive planning of the system logic and are frequently quite difficult to implement. Our discussions with the personnel involved in the system concept indicate that the control aspects of the system had not been given adequate consideration. In addition, as described, the system did not provide for the handling of certain types of non-routine transactions such as hand written checks.

## Payroll Controls:

As noted in our letter of April 17, 1970 to Dr. Lahti, the present payroll system was acquired and installed as an interim step. We understand the programs were obtained from Morton High School. The controls within the system are more than adequate. We noted certain reports, however, which appear to be superfluous and duplicative. In addition, the processing of the system required excessive computer time due to system inefficiencies which may be due to the fact that the system was not designed for IBM Model 360 hardware.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our observation, we believe a formal planned and scheduled program should be undertaken to develop "General System Specifications For Financial Affairs." The General System Specifications would, in laymenslanguage, document the operating and control needs of the Financial Affairs office for the forseeable future of from three to seven years. As envisioned, the Specifications would not provide for such systems as budget planning, fund projection or facilities planning, but would be limited to such applications as fund accounting, fund statements, accounts payable and related standard accounting activities.

In the way of explanation, we believe that the most successful computerized management information systems are those where three separate but interrelated steps are taken. The first step is the preparation of the General System Specifications referred to above. The second step is the preparation of Detailed System Specifications which are an elaboration of the General System Specifications. The third step is the programming of the various systems, together with testing, debugging and implementation.

Mr. William Mann<br>William Rainey Harper College

May 12, 1970
Page No. 3

The General System Specifications we propose would include definitions and layouts of all inputs to the system; general processing flow and the steps of processing, including internal control points; a definition of all files involved in the systems; and, all outputs of the system including report formats and control registers. We have separately transmitted to Mr. Mann a copy of a typical General Systems Specification which may serve as an example of the type of documentation we propose. In no sense should this particular specification be considered as applicable to the needs of William Rainey Harper College. Each system must also be designed to recognize the interface requirements with existing systems and the integration of existing files into new systems. Based on our experience, it is essential that the "users" of the systems must also play a key role through intensive involvement in the development of the General System Specifications if the system is to meet the needs of the user. Only with this involvement will these users' needs be filled at the least cost.

Upon completion and acceptance by all concerned of the General System Specifications, the next two steps of detailed specification preparation and programming can be undertaken. It is at this point that the Systems Analyst and Programmer play the major role. The General System Specifications would serve as the road map for these efforts.

We would like to assist you, your staff and Mr. Sedrel in the preparation of the General System Specifications referred to above. We feel we are well qualified to bring to the problem the objectivity and expertise which are vital at this initial program stage. We estimate that from eight to ten weeks elapsed time might be required in this first step. Based on our regular per diem rates for the staff to be assigned, we estimate our fees would range from $\$ 9,500$ to $\$ 11,500$, plus any out-of-pocket case expenses. We would plan to assign a Senior Systems and Data Processing Specialist from our Management Consulting Services group to this engagement with Mr. B. F. Butts, Principal, serving as Project Director. Mr. Robert Kuhn, Partner would continue to serve as Client Executive.

We would be pleased to assist you and will be happy to discuss the matter further at your convenience.

Very truly yours,


ERNST\&ERNST<br>231 SOUTH LA GALE STREET

CHICAGO, ILL. 60604

April 1, 1969

Mr. William J. Mann<br>Director of Business Affairs<br>William Rainey Harper College<br>34 West Palatine Road<br>Palatine, Illinois 60067

Dear Mr. Mann:
We are pleased that you have requested our assistance in planning for automation of the financial accounting systems of the College and in coordimating this effort with the data processing personnel. This assignment has been started under the direction of Mr . Robert L. Anderson, Manager.

Initially, it is our understanding that we will provide assistance, as necessary, in the determination of overall goals and objectives for the Business Office relative to short and long-range reporting requirements as they relate to the total management information system which is contemplated. After the general concepts and philosophies are established and the system design is underway, we understand that you will desire some additional technical guidance and counsel in the review of systems and implementational plans to insure adequacy of internal control, audit trails, input procedures, reporting output, etc.

Since our assistance will be provided on an "as requested" basis, it is difficult to estimate the full extent of our participation and the work that will be required. We will, however, exert every effort to keep our participation to a minimum by using your staff capabilities wherever possible. Based upon discussions with you, we believe that ten or twelve man days time on our part should be sufficient for this engagement which will extend over a period of several months. On this basis, we estimate that our fee will range between $\$ 3,500$ and $\$ 4,200$, plus out-of-pocket expenses.

Monthly billings will be issued based on actual time spent at our regular per diem rates plus expenses.

We would like to express our appreciation for this engagement and assure you that it will receive our close attention.

Very truly yours,


# ERNST \& ERNST <br> 231 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET 

CHICAGO, ILL. 60604

March 25, 1968


Mr. William J. Mann
Director of Business Affairs
William Rainey Harper College
34 West Palatine Road
Palatine, Illinois 60067
Dear Mr. Mann:
We are pleased that we have been engaged to assist in the review of the accounting procedures at William Rainey Harper College. We understand that such a review will be used as the basis for developing recommendations to implement improvements and simplifications in the accounting system. This review.will also place particular emphasis upon developing recommendations to revise the accounting system to meet the projected rate of expansion of the College in the immediate future.

This assignment will be under the direction of Mr . Stephen R. Holstad who will be assisted by Mr. Joseph P. Guertin. As previously discussed with you, we expect to begin the assignment during the week of April 22, 1968.

As presented to you in our original proposal letter, our fee estimate for this assignment is from $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,000$.

We appreciate this opportunity to serve you and assure you that this engagement will receive our best effort and attention.

Very truly yours,

I. Subject:

Consideration of an expenditure of $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,000$ to Ernst \& Ernst for consulting work in the business office.
II. Reason for Consideration by the Board:

At the present time the comptroller's office is preparing extensive financial reports both for the Board and for internal reporting. All of the information is obtained from a complex system of bookkeeping. Because our system will have to be expanded for September, 1968, and a conversion to data processing will be made during 1968-69, a concentrated effort is necessary in order to insure a smooth transition.
III. Analysis:
A. There are three basic questions to be resolved.

1. Should we staff at a level to sustain a hand system through the fall with the possibility of having surplus bookkeepers?
2. Should we design an intermediate system to meet the demand for this fall that could be easily phased into data processing?
3. When and how will we phase into data processing during 1968-69? While a parallel operation will be instituted for the phase-in, the fact remains that payrolls and statements must be produced on time and accurately. Because of the nature of accounting, a thorough examination of possible problems and the development of appropriate control features cannot be overemphasized.
B. Our Comptroller, Mr. Dolejs, has the training and experience to complete this type of analysis; however, he does not have the necessary time to launch the concentrated effort that is required to adequately plan a program. His time can better be used to critically analyse the consultants' work and plan for the actual implementation of proposed solutions.
C. The data processing staff needs a reference point to smoothly phase our operation into data processing. The key to this phase-in will be to have an intermediate system that has been planned to be compatible with data processing.
IV. Recommendation:

It is recommended that Ernst \& Ernst be employed at a fee of \$1,800-2,000 to accomplish the ends set forth in this report.


```
Mr. William J. Mann
-Director of Business Affairs
William Rainey Harper College
34 West Palatine Road
Palatine, Illinois 60067
```

Dear Mr. Mann:
We appreciate the opportunity afforded Messrs. J. P. Guertin, T. J. Lopina, and S. R. Holstad to meet with you on February 23, 1968 to discuss the proposed review of the accounting procedures at William Rainey Harper College.

We understand that the purpose of such a review would be to develop recommendations for implementing improvements and simplifications in the accounting system. This review would place particular emphasis upon developing recommendations for revising the accounting system in terms of the projected expansion of the college in the immediate future.

Our approach to this assignment would be to interview the personnel of the Business Office and to analyze procedures in the following areas:

```
Requisitioning
Purchasing
Receiving
Cash disbursements
Cash receipts
Payroll computation, preparation and reporting
-General and encumbrance accounting
Financial report preparation for the Board of Trustees and
for the divisions
```

We would evaluate the present work loads, and based upon projected student enrollments, would prepare reasonable estimates of increases in the volume of business transactions.

During and after this data gathering phase of the review we would develop recommendations for, operational improvements as follows:

We would recommend revisions which could be installed on a fairly immediate basis. These revisions would most likely relate to changes in the manual procedures.

We would also recommend revisions which should be considered during the next one to two years prior to the conversion to electronic data processing methods. Wherever feasible the

```
Mr. William J. Mann March 12, 1968
```

William Rainey Harper College
Page 非 2
short-term recommendations would relate to procedural revisions which would be compatible with future data processing applications.

We would prepare a tentative timetable for the conversion of the various Business Office procedures to electronic data processing methods. The target dates contained therein would be those deemed most practical for the continued convenient and uninterrupted operation of the Business Office. In order to determine the target dates, we would ask that you provide the following information:

The estimated date of installation of the electronic data processing equipment.

The priorities which have been established for the conversion of the procedures to data processing equipment including applications relating to student enrollment and other non-accounting areas.

We would review and comment upon the format of the monthly financial statements to determine that they are designed to provide financial information by areas of responsibility. This review would consider the proposed changes in the format of the financial statements which may result from any revisions in the accounting procedures.

At the conclusion of this assignment we would plan to discuss our findings and recommendations with you. In addition we would set forth these recommendations in a written report.

We estimate that our fee for this engagement would range from $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,000$, based on our regular per diem rates. This work would be directed by Mr. S. R. Holstad, assisted by Mr. J. P. Guertin. This fee estimate does not cover our assistance in implementing any recommendations that may arise from this review.
-We assure you that this assignment would receive our closest attention and we look forward to hearing from you.

Very truly yours,



## CHICAGO COMMONS CAMPS



Groups of Young People from Social Agencies
Schools and Churches
Moving Together in an outdoor setting-Searning

## Sharing

## Enjaying

 at CAMP REINBERGSPRING - SUMMER - FALL - WINTER

## WHAT IS CAMP REINBERG?

Camp Reinberg is a unit of the Chicago Commons Association which owns and operates two other camps:

Camp Farr in Chesterton, Indiana and Chicago Commons Farm Camp in New Buffalo, Michigan

## WHERE IS CAMP REINBERG?

Camp Reinberg is located near Palatine, Illinois, about 35 miles northwest of Chicago's Loop. It is in a 75 -acre Forest Preserve. It is adjacent to, and makes extensive use of, the 1100 -acre Deer Grove Forest Preserve.

## WHO USES CAMP REINBERG? AND WHEN?

Camp Reinberg operates year-round. In the summer groups of $8-12$-year-old children come from settlement houses, churches and other youth-serving agencies to enjoy out-ofdoor living for 12 -day periods.

Camp Reinberg is busy in Fall, Winter and Spring, too! During the school week, classroom groups with their teachers use Camp Reinberg as an outdoor laboratory for learning. They may come for day only, overnight or week-long periods.

On weekends during the fall, winter and spring seasons, teen-age groups come to Camp Reinberg for fun and recreation. Church groups find an ideal spot for retreats here.

CAMP REINBERG STAFF is available to all groups to help plan, carry out and evaluate programs geared for growth in Reinberg's outdoor setting.


WHY CAMP REINBERG?
. . . . . .to enable young people to discover and as part of a natural environment rich in as part of a small continuing social gro a new adventurous setting
as part of new, various and expanding different backgrounds, the camp as a w camp is a part
. . . . . .knowing that responsibility for life, th processes.
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We invite inquiries:

Our address:
Camp Reinberg
P. O. Box 218

Palatine, Illinois 60067

Or call us at:
(312) 359-0010

## Why Camp for your Children

 and Young People....... to enable them to discover and grow as part of a natural environment rich in physical and biological resource
as part of a small continuing social group learning how to meet together the problems of a new adventurous setting
as part of new, various and expanding groups of action and thought involving campers of different backgrounds, the camp as a whole and all the larger communities of which the camp is a part
.... knowing that responsibility for life, through play and through work is inherent in these processes.


Map to CampFarr-at-Wm-Brueckner-Camp


## Calendar-CampFarr at Brueckner Camp...

Per I June 29 - July 3 Per $\nabla$ July 27 - July 31
Per II July 6 - July 10 Per VI Aug. 3-Aug. 7 Per III July 13 - July 17 Per VII Aug. 10 - Aug. 14 Per IV July 20 - July 24 Per VIII Aug. 17 - Aug. 21

There will be eight weeks of Family Camping at Camp-Farr-at-Wm. Brueckner-Camp with 50 individual persons per week.

## Program at Camp Farr at Brueckner Camp.

Family camping will be the order of the day. Families will function pretty much as family units with some distinct programming fior age groupings. The program will be largely recreational in nature---thus giving families the opportunity to play and relax together. Some time will be devoted to discussions with the adults regarding various community problems or family questions which arise in an area. During this time le children would be involved in some activity geared to them--giving them the chance to relate not only to their peer group, but to other adults who can teach them new games, and lead them in a variety of different activities.

Because the families will remain largely as family units at camp, supervision will be in special areas, i.e., arts and crafts, swimming, nature study, sports and recreation, etc. The individual coordinating the camp program will have as a special function the management of the adult discussion groups as well.


1. Explorers'

2. Care Shop
3. Wash rooms
4. Dining Hall

# Calendar - Camp Reinberg 

Per I June 29 - July 10 Per III July 27 - Aug. 7
Per II July 13 - July 24 Per IV Aug. 10-Aug. 21

There will be four periods of 12 days each. These sessions will be for children from the ages of 8-13. Camp will take a capacity of 150 boys and girls and staff to work with them.

## Program at Camp Reinberg

Summer camping at Camp Reinberg will continue to serve the children from communities in Chicago, and will hopefully add some communities in suburbia. Program will be recreational in its content including such familiar activities as donkey-riding, swimming, boating, cookouts and campouts. This year, some attention will also be given to such projects as tree planting, stream clearance, soil erosion control and other projects which involve campers in the natural enrironment. Campers will explore the pond in hip-boots -- taking a look at life there and what ffects it. Camperaft, including all of the experiences from finding and setting up a campsite to living in it, is another highlight for campers. We live in an environment which is more than cities and towns and even more than forest and stream. Camping at Reinberg offers rich environmental experiences with resident camping summer and all year to enable all who participate to learn to use what they know to make the environment more livable.

## Camp. Program ano You...

Camp with its woods, fields, streams and other program facilities, makes pussible a wide variety of activities. Hiking and nature crafts, cookouts and campouts, swimming, drama are just a few. Because the campers and counselors work together to evolve program, there will be many opportunities to develop new skills as well as to enjoy familiar activities.

## Counstlops ...

A mature counselor is assigned to each cabin of children. He is the friend and advisor for the child-the big brother or sister--whose main job is to look after the children assigned to him. He helps make your child feel secure and at home at camp-he will help your child to learn many skills, to make new friends - and to have fun while doing so. All staff is chosen carefully from young people interested in children. The more they can know about your child, the better job they can do. If your child has some special need, or if you have some special concern, please include a note with your application.

## Health ano Safetr of Campers...

Each camper must have a physical exam form signed by an M.D., and also by either parent or guardian. Trained health care and first-aid specialists are on hand at all times to care for emergencies which may arise. They work with counselors in the care of the campers. Local doctors are or call whenever medical attention is needed. Campers are insured against injury and accidents occurring at camp. Special attention is given to making camp a safe and healthy place for children.

## Visiting Camp...

Between the hours of 2:00 and 4:00 p.m. on Sunday before your camping session, the camps will be open and staff will be available to show you the facilities and answer your questions. We would urge you to visit camp at this time rather than when your child is in camp. We urge you, too, to write frequent newsy and happy letters to your campers.

## Transportation...

Families going to Camp Farr at Wm. Brueckner Camp will arrange transportation with their referring agency. Children going to Camp Reinberg will be going by train from the C. $\& N . W$, station in downtown Chicago, and then transported by bus from Palatine to the camp. Your signed blue and white copies of your registrationmedical form will act as your ticket on the bus, and as your pass to secure ycur ticket on the train.

## Spending Money ...

Occasionally a child may need some small item, or an off-camp trip may be planned when the child may want to purchase something. A $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 1.50$ per child ould be sufficient.

## Food ...

Well-balanced -(and good)- meals are served in the dining hall where the whole camp eats together as one big family.


## Suggested Clothing List...

## Clothing

4 sets of underwear
4 shirts, blouses or tee shirts
4 pairs of shorts, slacks or jeans (two long)
2 pairs of pajamas (preferably warm ones)
1 "Sunday" outfit
4 or 5 pairs of socks
2 pairs of shoes (one heavier for hiking)
1 pair rubber boots
1 heavy sweater or jacket
1 raincoat or poncho
l bathing suit or trunks (bathing cap for girls)
Other items
2 towels and 2 washcloths toothpaste and brush bag for dirty laundry comb and brush bar of soap
Kleenex
Other necessary personal items
Be sure to include only clothing which will allow for freedom te play and explore. Put name tags on all. equipment. If more than one child comes to Camp Reinberg at a time, pack separately for each one, and please include a list to check when packing to return.

In Case Of Emergency...
The phone numbers of the camps are listed below. If it is necessary for you to get in touch with your child, please call the camp and ask for the director. He will know how best to help you and your camper.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { Camp William Brueckner } & - & \text { R.R. \#l, Box } & 555 \\
\text { New Buffalo, Michigan } \\
\text { Phone } & - & \text { (616) } 756-9692 & 49117
\end{array}
$$

Camp Reinberg (headquarters) Box 218
Palatine, Illinois
Phone -- (312) 359-0010 60067

## Office of Planning \& Development

## ENROLLMENT

AND
FACILITIES PROJECTIONS
TO 1995
FOR
WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE
MAY 6, 1970
Prepared by
John A. Lucas, Director

This long range projection project was undertaken to aid the management planning process. In order to make these projections several sub-studies were conducted by the Office of Planning and Development. These included a high school survey showing future educational plans, an adult education survey and a parking lot count. A facility survey providing actual room capacities was conducted by George Voege1. Reports for each of these four substudies are shown in Appendix B.

Enrollment data was gathered for grades $K$ through 12 from all schools in the district from 1950 to the present. Population data was provided by NIPC and Illinois Bell. These seemed to be the only good independent population studies made for the area. Comparative enrollment data was gathered from other community colleges in Illinois and from other members of GT-70. Finally the Learning Resources Center, the book store, Food Services and Student Services provided projections for their facilities needs through 1995.

Enrollment Projections at Mid-Term:

|  | $\underline{1970}$ | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $\underline{1980}$ | $\frac{1995}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Head Count | 5682 | 5852 | 6983 | 7912 | 9009 | 10,334 | 16,444 | 20,415 |
| FTE | 3868 | 3911 | 4762 | 5354 | 6082 | 6992 | 10,992 | 13,846 |

Facilities Projections:

## Facilities Description

Present facilities
With Music and Science additions

Facilities Will Be Adequate Through the Academic Year

1971-1972
1972-1973
Completion of Master Plan (7000 Dat FTE, $20 \%$ Vo-Tech students - For $850,000 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$

Completion of Master Plan with addition of administrative building and bookstore 1980-1981
completion of Master Plan with addition of $208,000 \mathrm{ft} .2$ of buildings and 50 percent increase in parking space 1995

Completion of Master Plan with addition
of $378,000 \mathrm{ft} .{ }^{2}$

1995

SITE LAYOUT PROJECTIONS

Harper Projection Increase of $208,000 \mathrm{ft} .2$ of buildings and $50 \%$ Increase in Parking

| Master Plan |  | and 50\% Increase in Parking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Acres | Utility | Acres |
| Buildings | 40 | Buildings | 50 |
| Parking | 42 | Parking | 63 |
| Roads | 26 | Roads | 26 |
| P.E. and Recreation | 27 | P.E. and Recraation | 27 |
| Open space | 65 | Euclid Easement | 6 |
|  | - | Open space | 28 |
| Total | 200 |  | 200 |
| Useab J.e |  |  |  |
| Space |  |  |  |

Buildings 50
Parking 63
Roads 26
P.E. and

Recreation 27
Euclid Easement 6
Open space

State Guidelines Increase of $378,000 \mathrm{ft} .{ }^{2}$ of Buildings. Assume 50\% Increast in Pa king

| Utility | Acres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Buildings |  |

Parking 63
Roads 26
P.E. and

Recreation 27

Euclid Easement 6
Open space $\quad$ 20
200

Harper determination
Harper determination
Architects \& State Guidelines

Harper determination

Harper detfrmination

State guidelines
PART 1 PROJECTIONS OF MIDTERM ENROLLMENTS TO 1995


| 2358 | 2896 | 3549 | 4703 | 5295 | 6023 | $6933,10,933$ | 12,081 | 13,257 | 13,787 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1146 | 908 d | 443 e | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 |
| 3504 | 3804 | 3992 | 4762 | 5354 | 6082 | 6992 | 10,992 | 12,140 | 13,316 | 13,846 |



| 2537 | 2755 | 2891 | 3448 | 3877 | 4404 | 5063 | 7960 | 8791 | 9642 | 10,026 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | a. High school projections shown in Appendix A.

b. Units of adult course interest determined from Adult Education Survey
shown in Appendix B.
c. Out-of-district projection assumes that enrollment from the Niles-Maine
will drop to near zero and that almost all students in non-junior college
district will choose Niles-Maine rather than Harper because of its proximity.
d. Niles-Maine will open with enrollment of 500 FTE in fall of 1970
e. Niles -Maine will allow those who started at Harper to finish at Harper. High School Seniors 1 year before ${ }^{a}$ Percent Attending Harper No. F-T New Students Percent returning No. F-T Returnees Non-Adults $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{T}$ per $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{T}$ Ratio-Non-Adult $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{T}$ per Number Non-Adult P-T Units of Adult Course Interest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Adult FTE Attending (Assuming reach $1 / 20$ in 1980 (Assuming reach $1 / 20$ in
of interest)
No. of Adults Attending No. of Adults Attending
(3. 279 Heads per FTE (3. 279 Heads per FTE
Total Ratio P-T/F-T
Total in District
Total Out-of-District ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Total Ratio P-T/F-T
Total in District
Total Out-of-District ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Total Ratio P-T/F-T
Total in District
Total Out-of-District ${ }^{\text {C }}$
FTE Day (State definition total
students semester hours offered 8
ت
Total Head Count Total Head Count
Out-of-District FTE
In-District FTE
Out-of-District FTE between 7 AM - 5 PM divided by 15) Total FTE
Basic Assumption: Ultimate goai is co utilize 60 perctinc of regular classrooms at any one time Likewise a parallel goal is to utilize 50 tof of d from 8:00 FTEb

| Final Year |
| :---: |
| Facility Would |
| Be Adequate |
| $1971-1972$ |
| $1972-1973$ | | Student Contacta |
| :---: |
| Hoars Served |
| $(71,412)$ |
| 54,346 |
| 17,066 | $(82,127)$

5,261 $\qquad$ 6,287
1,332
$-2,165$
$(191,656)$ 37,429
6,510
47,286
11,215
1,665
29,720
$-1,166$
$-17,769$
$-1,665$
$-3,696$ 10,801
1980
 $09^{-1} 09^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ per week 74 hours

$$
\overline{\text { suoṭ } 7 e 7 S \text { fuәpn7S }}
$$

mite fis fuəpn7S mooxsseto

2,040
1,025 316
236
80
130 1,405
391
1,775
421
100
1,785
70
667 100
222 222
路
DELETIONS

Learning resources will be adequately serviced by the present 3 floors of F Building, if we don't need the traditional circulation space. Many of the future lounge and recreational areas could double as circulation space.
PARKING SPACE PROJECTIONS
*Assume no increase of 175 visitor parking slots needed
Architects indicate parking estimates may be conservative because the percentage of students driving cars on campus is increasing each year. Their estimate would be an increase of $75 \%$ over the present master plan by 1995.

○ NOILOGS



1. Returning students have been projected at $62 \%$ of the new students (present data) but in the future we may attract more returnees. If the pressure on the four year college increases, this might in turn force more returnees. The comparison data in Appendix A show; that some colleges in existence for long periods of time attract more returnees than new students. If more one year certificate programs are added, this trend will be negated.
2. Harper may attract more than one in twenty interested adults in any given semester. This is very difficult to project without historical data.
3. Harper may actually take away business from the four year institutions by reducing the actual numbers of students going from our district each year. This is unlikely because none of the comparison institutions reached much more than 50 percent of their high school seniors.
4. Population estimates may be in error to some extent because the accuracy of the current figures are somewhat in question. When the new census data is available, this gap will be filled. Any inaccuracies in present projects would be short run. Projections by 1990 and 1995 should be close to actual regardless of the present accuracy. This is so because data on available land space is known.
5. Population projections in the long run could be effected if there is a major change in the density of population. This is unlikely because this would require tearing numbers of existing housing and replacing with high rise apartments.

## APPENDIX A

TABLE 1 Determination of percentage of high school seniors attending Harper.
TABLE 2 Projection of population and high school seniors.
TABLE 3 Comparative enrollment ratios Harper with other community colleges.
TABLE 4 Facilities Utilization Data
TABLE 1
DETERMINATION OF PERCENTAGE OF HTAH SCHOOL SENIORS
WHO WILL ATTEND HARPER AS FULL-TIME NEW STUDENTS
TABLE 1
DETERMINATION OF PERCENTAGE OF HTRH SCHOOL SENIORS
WHO WILL ATTEND HARPER AS FULL-TIME NEW STUDENTS
TABLE 1
DETERMINATION OF PERCENTAGE OF HTAH SCHOOL SENIORS
WHO WILL ATTEND HARPER AS FULL-TIME NEW STUDENTS


4614
5178
5632
6399
6698
7080
7597
9590
10260
11041
11403

ôo
$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}{ }_{N}^{N}$
N N



* This figure comes from High School Survey in Districts 211 and 214. Since only about is added to 20.2 giving 22.5 percent. This is probably a conservative estimate because more students will decide to attend Harper as their senior year progresses. Since 23.4 percent of the in-district high school seniors attended Harper in 1969, this might be a better estimate for 1970.

TABLE 2

PROJECTION OF POPULATION AND HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS
DISTRICT 214

| YEAR |  |  |  | b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a |  |  | DECLINE | SENIOR CLASS |
|  | POPULATION | BASE GRADE ENR | MENT | RATE | ENROLLMENT |
| 1969 | 172,500 | Actual | 3754 | 1.0 | 3754 |
| 1970 | 182,024 | 69-11th Grade | 4002 | . 932 | 3861 |
| 1971 | 191,548 | 69-10th Grade | 4420 | . 900 | 4258 |
| 1972 | 201,072 | 69-9th Grade | 4641 | .856 | 4393 |
| 1973 | 210,596 | 69-8th Grade | 5055 | . 790 | 4556 |
| 1974 | 220,120 | 69-7th Grade | 5443 | . 757 | 4846 |
| 1975 | 229,644 | 69-6th Grade | 5524 | . 736 | 4925 |
| 1976 | 239,168 | 69-5th Grade | 5759 | . 709 | 5042 |
| 1977 | 248,692 | 69-4th Grade | 5781 | . 709 | 5158 |
| 1978 | 252,000 | 69-3rd Grade | 5738 | . 709 | 5215 |
| 1979 | 252,800 | 69-2nd Grade | 5656 | . 709 | 5235 |
| 1980 | 253,600 | 69-1st Grade | 5550 | . 709 | 5229 |
| 1981 | 254,400 | 69-K | 5150 | . 766 | 5335 |
| 1985 | 257,600 |  |  |  | 5873 |
| 1990 | 261,600 |  |  |  | 5964 |
| 1995 | 265,600 |  |  |  | 6056 |

DISTRICT 211

| 1969 | 105,000 | Actual | 1268 | 1.0 | 1268 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1970 | 114,700 | 69-11th Grade | 1499 | .886 | 1457 |
| 1971 | 124,400 | 69-10th Grade | 1769 | .817 | $171 \%$ |
| 1972 | 134,100 | $69-9$ th Grade | 1863 | .765 | 1820 |
| 1973 | 143,800 | $69-8$ th Grade | 2062 | .677 | 1912 |
| 1974 | 153,500 | $69-7$ th Grade | 2243 | .677 | 2220 |
| 1975 | 163,200 | $69-6$ th Grade | 2332 | .677 | 2454 |
| 1976 | 172,900 | $69-5$ th Grade | 2583 | .677 | 2880 |
| 1977 | 182,600 | $69-4$ th Grade | 2647 | .677 | 3116 |
| 1978 | 192,300 | $69-3$ rd Grade | 2616 | .677 | 3244 |
| 1979 | 202,000 | $69-2$ nd Grade | 2742 | .677 | 3571 |
| 1980 | 211,700 | $69-1$ st Grade | 2769 | .677 | 3780 |
| 1981 | 221,400 | $69-K$ | 2635 | .700 | 3889 |
| 1985 | 260,200 |  |  |  | 4496 |
| 1990 | 282,700 |  |  |  | 4885 |
| 1995 | 286,700 |  |  |  | 4954 |

TABLE 2 (continued)

PROJECTION OF POPULATION AND HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS (continued)
DISTRICT 224

a The population is projected to increase at the same rate it has been increasing since 1960. This rate of increase will continue until the open space is used. NIPC provided historical population and open land data.
$b$ Decline rates computed on the basis of historical enrollment data $K$ through 12 dating back to 1950.
c Senior class enrollment projected using the decline rates and the projected increase in population from 1969.


Monterey Peninsula, California
Miami Dade-Florida
Pima -Arizona
Tarrant County-Texas
COLLEGE


| 4. <br>  <br> L9. |
| :---: |

PROPORTIONS
TABLE 4
FACILITIES UTILIZATION DATA
by
Dr. George H. Voegel
The totals for the buildings were:
Buildings
Total
Student Stations
A ..... 337
B ..... 50
C ..... 207
D ..... 1100
E ..... 555',
F (Classrooms Only) ..... 767
Field House ..... 222
(includes est. of
110 student stations
for field house/arena area)
PHASE 11
Science Wing ..... 296
Music Wing ..... 316
SURVEYby
Dr. John A. Lucas
March 18, 1970
Building D: All classrooms ..... 1136
Regular Classrooms ..... 818
Labs ..... 316

## FINANCIAL PI_AN A - LONG RANGE CONSTRUCTION

The following is an estimate of the dosts for the construction and equipping of the remaining building of the master plan, beyond the Music Wing and Science Wing additions, with the exception of the Little Theatre and Auditorium.

These buildings have been carried through the Schematic Design staqe and the time table for further architectural development will be governed by the availability of state Funds.

This exhibit consists of the following:
DESCRIPTION ..... PAGE
Financial Plan ..... 2
proposed Buildings with squarefootage and estimated buildingcosts.3
Estimated total costs of buildingsincluding site development, equip-ment, fees etc.4
Tabulation of Architectural Fees based on buildings cost alone at the $\$ 30 /$ sq. ft. level. ..... 5
Architectural Fee Determination Attachment
SITE AND CONSTUCTION FUND1970-71
ESTIMATED SOURCES OF FUNDING
Non-Cash Items to be Submitted to I.B.A.

* Transfer of land, 126 acres @\$10,000/acre $\$ 1,260.000$ Architects Fees - Interiors 14.745
$50 \%$ of Consultants fees deferred from Phase I ..... 35,537
10,282
Construction Payout and Architectural Fees Phase IIB ..... \$ 2,168,587
Total Cash and Non-Cash Items to be submitted ..... \$ 3,478,869
I.B.A. Funding $3 \times 3,478,869$ ..... $\$ 10,436,607$
Total Estimated Funding Phase IIB ..... $\$ 13,915,476$
Phase IIB Estimated Total Costs ..... \$16,513,329
Phase IIB Costs under I.B.A. Participation $\frac{1,426,637}{\$ 15,086,692}$ Deficit ..... $\$ 1,171,216$
Local Share of Deficit $1,171,216 \div 4$ ..... \$ .292,804
Estimated Cost of Moveable Equipment ..... $1,426,637$
Total Estimated Additional Funds Needed from Phase IIB ..... \$ 1,719,441
* Assuming $\$ 10,000$ per acre appraised value.

| UNI | TDESCRIPTION | PHASE I <br> GROSS SQ. FT. | PHASE II GROSS SQ. FT. | * $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { FUTURE PHASES } \\ \text { GROSS SQ. FT. }\end{array}\right]$ | EST. COST BLDG. ONLY \$30/SQ. FT. APRIL 1970 | EST. COST BLDG. ONLY \$30/SQ. FT. APRIL 1970 | EST. COST BLDG. ONLY \$35/SQ. FT APRIL 1972 | EST. $\operatorname{COST}$ BLDG. ONLY $\$ 41 / \mathrm{SQ} . \mathrm{FT}$. APRIL 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Student center | 131,652 | -- | ----------- | --------- | --------- | -------- | --------- |
| B | POWER PLANT | 8,300 | 5,400 | ---------. | --------- | ---------- | --------- | -------- |
| C | ART | 23,878 | ----.----- | --------- | ---------- | ---------- | --------- | --------- |
| D | SCIENCE | 92,600 | 23,460 | ----------- | --------- | --------- | --------- | --------- |
| E | Lecture demo center | 13,040 | ---------- | ----------- | -- | ---------- | --------- | --------- |
| F | LEARNING RESOURCES | 101, 970 | --------- | ----------- | --------- | --------- | --------- | ---- |
| G | VOC. TECH. SHOP | ------- | ---------- | 23,356 | \$ 700,680 | \$ 700,680 | --------- | --------- |
| H | VOC. TECH. LABS | ------- | ---------- | 53,537 | 1, 606, 110 | 1,606, 110 | -- | -- |
| I | Bus. \& soc. SCIENCES | --- | --------- | 35, 164 | 1, 054,920 | 1, 054,920 | --------- | --------- |
| I | bus. \& SOC. SCIENCES | --- | --------- | 49,680 | 1,490, 000 | --- | \$ 1, 738,800 | ........-- |
| J-1 | Le Cture | -- | --------- | 5,014 | 150, 420 | --- | 175,490 | --------- |
| K | HUMANITIES \& COMMUNICATIONS | - | --------- | 40,422 | 1, 221, 660 | -.---.... | 1,414,770 | --------- |
| 1 | COMMUNICATIONS | -------- | --------- | 47,065 | 1, 411, 950 | --------- | 1,647,275 | ---------- |
| M | P. E. (Lockers, Showers \& M. P. ROOMS) | -- | --------- | 49, 092 | 1,472,760 | ------- | --------- | \$2, 012, 772 |
| N | P. E. (Pool) | ------- | --------- | 12,624 | 378, 720 | -------- | --------- | 517,584 |
| 0 | P. E. (Gym) | ------- |  | 24, 884 | 746, 520 | ------- | --------- | 1,020,244 |
| P | MUSIC | ------- | 26,594 | --- | --------- | -------- | --------- | --------- |
| TOTAL |  | 371,440 | 55,454 | 340,838 | \$10, 233, 740 | 93,361,710 | \$4,976, 335 | \$3,550,600 |
| NOTE: * Does not include the Auditorium and the Little Theatre. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NE 1970 |


|  | EST. COST OF PHASE IIB AT APRIL 1970 COSTS | EST. COST OF OF PHASE IIB AT ACCEIERA TED COSTS | EST. COST OF BLDGS. G, H \& I APRIL 1070 COSTS | EST. COST OF BLDGS. J, J-1, K \& L APRIL 1972 COSTS | EST. COST OF BLDGS. $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}$ \& O APRUL 1975 COSTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Cost of Buildings Only | \$10, 233,740 | \$11, 888, 645 | \$3,361, 710 | \$ 4, 976, 335 | \$ 3,550,600 |
| Site Development ( $10 \%$ of Bldg. Cost) | 1, 023, 374 | 1,188, 865 | 336,171 | 497, 634 | 355, 060 |
| Est. Cost Fixed Equip. ( $5 \%$ of Bldg. Cost) | 511, 687 | 594,433 | 168,086 | 248,817 | 177, 530 |
| Est. Cost Moveable Equip. ( $12 \%$ of Bldg. <br> Cost) | 1,228, 049 | 1,426,637 | 403,405 | 597, 160 | 426,072 |
| IBA Contingency ( $5 \%$ of BIdg. Cost) | 511, 687 | 594,433 | 168,086 | 248, 817 | 177,530 |
| Architectural Fees ( $6 \%$ of Bldg., Site and Fixed Equipment Cost | 706, 128 | 820,316 | 231,958 | 343,367 | 244, 991 |
| TOTALS | \$14, 214, 665 | \$16,513, 329 | \$ 4, 669, 416 | \$ 6, 912,130 | \$ 4, 931, 783 |
| BIENNIUM \& YEAR |  |  | 77th (7/71-6/73). | 78th (7/73-6/75) | 79th (7/75-6/77) |



## ARCHITECTURAL FEE DETERMINATION

In or ler to be in a position that would enable us to be consideced, on sh ort notice, for state approved construction funds, it is neces:iary to keep the time span between the availabilty of funds and $r$ sadiness of construction documents to a minimum.

How far an organization wants to progress towards the completed construction documents, of course, is dependent on how great a financial commitment they are willing and able to make. As an aid in determing the costs involved for documents developed to various levels and for various size projects, a chart, Figure 2, has been prepared. In addition, we have listed below, Figure 1 , the b:eakdown of the $6 \%$ Architectural Fee, as it applies to each stage.

| PROJECT LEVEL \% | $\%$ of $6 \%$ FEE | \% of COST OF PROJECT | TIME for PREPARATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schematic Design | 15 | . 9 | 4 months |
| Desigr: Development | 20 | 1.2 | 4 months |
| Construction Documents | s 40 | 2.4 | 5 months |
| Bid | 5 | $.3$ <br> approx | approx. (20 months |
| Construction | 20 | $1.2$ |  |
|  | 100 | 6 |  |

Figus:e` 1

ARCHITECTURAL FEES
For Various Construction Costs

| PROUECT Lerel |  | 1, MM | \$2, MM | \$3, MM |  | 4. MM |  | 5, MM | \$6. MM | \$7, MM | \$8, MM | \$92.MM | \$10, MM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sctematic Desigr: | \$ | 9 M | \$ 18M | \$ 27M | \$ | 36 M | \$ | 45M | \$ 54M | \$ 63M | \$ 72M | \$ 8im | \$ 90M |
| Design Developm :nt |  | 12 M | 24M | 36M |  | 48M |  | 60M | 72 M | 84M | 96M | 103 M | 120M |
| Conscruction Documents |  | 24M | 48M | 72 M |  | 96M |  | 120M | 144 M | 168M | 192M | $21 . \mathrm{M}$ | 240 M |
| Bid |  | 3M | 6M | 9 M |  | 12 M |  | 15M | 18M | 21 M | 24M | 27M | 30 M |
| Constraction |  | 12M | 24 M | 36M |  | 48M |  | 60M | 72 M | 84M | 96M | 108M | 120M |
| TOTAL | \$ | 60M | \$120M | \$180M | \$ 2 | 240M |  | 300M | \$360M | \$420M | \$480M | \$540M | \$600M |

FIGURE 2

Using the information in Figure 1 and Figure 2, it is easy to detrarmine what the cost will be for the necessary documentation to reduce the time period between the date of notification of the availabilty of state funds and,for instance, the readiness of bid documents. An example is given below.

## Example:

Three possible projects - A, B, and C, each costing three million, four million and six million, respectively.

To reduce the time from thirteen months to nine months, ali three projects could be taken through Schematic Design. The Architectual Fee would be ( $A=\$ 27 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{B}=\$ 36 \mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{C}=\$ 54 \mathrm{M}$ ) - $\$ 117,000$.

If an additional four months reduction in time was deemed necessary, all projects could be carried through the Design Development stage. The additional fee would be ( $\mathrm{A}=\$ 36 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{B}=\$ 48 \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\$ 72 \mathrm{M}$ ) - $\$ 156.000$. The total fee would be $\$ 117,000$ plus $\$ 15.6,000$, which is $\$ 273,000$.

The above example shows how simply one can determine what Architectural Fees would be incurred for different size projects carried to various levels of documentation.
D. M. Misic
I. SUBJECT
Approval of revised Student Conduct Code
II. REASON FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION
The board is being asked to amend the student conductcode which it previously adopted.
III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION
Added experience with our code of conduct plus newdevelopments on the higher education scene nationallyhave led us to propose some changes in our studentconduct code. These revisions and additions areunderlined in the attached document. All changeshave been approved by the Student Senate and reviewedby the college attorney. We believe we have sub-stantially improved our code with these additions.
IV. RECOMMENDED ACTION
We recommend that the board approve the changes andamendments to the student conduct code as underlinedin the attached document.

Proposed revision of student code (Page 7-9 Student Handbook - underlined words represent changes or additions)

Harper College respects the right of each member of the academic community to be free from coercion and harassment. It recognizes that academic freedom is dependent on ordered liberty, as is any other freedom. Freedom to teach and learn are inseparable facets of academic freedom. To discourage conduct which is disruptive and disorderly does not threaten academic freedom; it is, rather, a necessary condition of its very existence.

Harper College fully supports democracy as basis for good government and encourages the student body to govern themselves in accordarice with such principles. A viable democracy allows peaceful dissent. The college, therefore, recognizes the right of students to hold peaceful demonstrations and will permit them within the context of the student code.

Harper College upholds all federal, state, and local laws and considers violations of these on college property, or at any college sponsored activities held off-campus, as cause for disciplinary action.

The following code of behavior is relative to conduct on college property and at all college sponsored activities held off-campus. The college will not initiate disciplinary proceedings against students for violation of law which occur off campus unless the nature of the violation is such as to indicate that the continued attendance of the offender represents a threat to the safety or well being of other members of the college community.

The following types of conduct are defined as unacceptable and violators shall be subject to disciplinary action. For serious infractions the college reserves the right to dismiss a student.

1. Possession and/or consumption of alcoholic beverages except at an offcampus activity where all in attendance meet the minimum age established by state law and where the location of the activity does not prohibit such beverages. Students of legal age who are in possession of alcoholic beverages with unbroken original seals in their cars shall not be subject to discipline.
2. Possession, sale, use, or distribution of any narcotic drug, marijuana, or other addictive or hallucinogenic substance, except as permitted by law.
3. Gambling.
4. Theft or damage to public or private property.
5. Conduct which is lewd, indecent, or obscene, including the use of profane or abusive language toward members of the college community.
6. Failure to meet financial obligations or writing bad checks relative to college transactions.
7. Furnishing false or misleading information on college records, tampering with Harper College I.D. cards, refusing to identify yourself by Harper College I.D. card, or to surrender Harper College I.D. card upon request of college officials or security officers who have identified themselves as such and have stated their reasons for requesting the I.D. card.
8. Possession or use of firearms, explosives, dangerous chemicals or other weapons except as permitted by law and college regulations.
9. Failure to comply with directions of college officials acting in the performance of their duties.
10. Assulting, threatening, harassing, or endangering in any manner the health or safety of any person.
11. Unauthorized occupancy of the college facilities or buildings.
12. Picketing, protesting, demonstrating or participating in rallies, or the distribution of leaflets which directly interferes with, or seeks to discourage the orderly operation of the college community or any person otherwise on college premises with the express or implied permission of the college.
13. Interference with the orderly operations of the college by breach of the peace, by physical obstruction or coercion, or by noise, tumult, or other forms of disturbance.

The college reserves the right in behalf of it's campus police officers to search a student's personal belongings and/or automobile when on the college campus in accordance with state law.

The Vice President of Student Affairs Office shall be responsible for handling all administrative details involved in student conduct. Reports of incidents involving student conduct, procedures for handling disciplinary cases and the results of conduct hearings shall be maintained in this office.

Minor offenses which may result in a reprimand, probationary warning, or suspension of less than one week, shall be handled by the Vice President of Student Affairs Office. Conduct violations of a serious nature resulting in charges which entail possible probation, suspension beyond one week, or dismissal, will be referred to the student conduct committee. Any recommendation for the dismissal of a student shall be referred to the college president.

Pending action on the charges, the status of a student should not be changed or his right to be present on the campus and to attend classes suspended, except for reason relating to his physical or emotional safety and well-being, or that of the college community as determined by the Vice President of Student Affairs Office.

When a student's misconduct may result in serious penalties such as probation, suspension beyond one week, or dismissal, he shall be referred to the student conduct committee for a hearing. Also, if a student questions the fairness of disciplinary action taken against him by the Vice President of Student Affairs Office, he shall be granted, on written request, a hearing before the student conduct committee providing his request is received in the Vice President of Student Affairs Office no later than fourteen days after the date of the letter notifying him of disciplinary action. The student conduct committee shall hear cases within fourteen days of receipt of either incident reports or appeal requests.

Membership (This section has been rewritten incorporating materials from section 1 , page 8 and placed at the beginning of the code rather than near the end. It is substantially as before. Underlined statements are additions.)

The student conduct committee shall consist of four faculty members and three student members. Faculty members shall be appointed by the Faculty Senate from a list of twelve faculty members submitted by the Student Senate. Student members shall be appointed by the Student Senate. The chairman shall be a faculty member chosen within the committee. All members shall serve for twoyear periods. At least five members must be present to conduct hearings. No member of the committee who is otherwise interested in the particular case shall sit in judgment during the proceeding.
(The former section 1 has been incorporated above and deleted as a separate section.)

1. The student shall be informed, in writing, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing, of the reasons for the proposed disciplinary action with sufficient particularity to insure opportunity to prepare for the hearing.
2. The student appearing before the conduct committee has the right to be assisted in his defense by an advisor of his choice.
3. The student shall be given an opportunity to testify and to present evidence and witnesses. He shall have an opportunity to hear and question adverse witnesses. In no case shall the committee consider statements against him unless he has been advised of their content and of the names of those who made them, and unless he has been given an opportunity to rebut unfavorable inferences which might otherwise be drawn.
4. A student has the right to remain silent without prejudice in a disciplinary hearing only if his testimony could be used aginst him in a criminal trial.
5. Witnesses (if they number more than one) are not to be present simultaneously in the hearing room and shall not hear each other's testimony.
6. a. In the absence of a transcript, there shall be both a digest and a verbatim record, such as a tape recording, of the hearing and such records shall be available to the student.
b. A written decision of the hearing committee shall be forwarded to the Vice President of Student Affairs Office.
7. The written decision of the student conduct committee is final, subject to appeal by either the student or the Vice President of Student Affairs Office to the college president and Board of Trustees.
8. The conduct committee meetings are closed unless open meetings are requested by either parties and the request is approved by both the conduct committee and the Vice President of Student Affairs.

## WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE

To: Dr. R. E. Lahti, President<br>From: W. J. Mann, Vice-President of Business Affairs<br>Subject: Board Information Concerning Travel Tour Program


#### Abstract

Based upon limited experience, we have attempted to systematically select a travel agent for the Adult Travel Tour Program. Next time we wili improve our approach and specifications. Based upon the attached recommendation, we feel the Glenview Travel Service meets our requirements.


Since we only plan the tour and do not handle any money, I do not think formal Board action is necessary. I do think our conclusions should be presented as Board information.

WJM:jg
enc.

TO: Dr. Omar L. Olson, Dean of Evening \& Continuing Education

FROM: Dr. Jack Fuller, Assistant to the Dean of Continuing Education

RE: Selection of the Agent for the Adult Travel-Tour Program

## FOREWARD

We fully realize that the methods and procedures for selecting a travel agency to conduct the adult travel tour program at Harper College need to be improved. However, we have learned a great deal from our first venture into this area of continuing education. Accordingly, our subsequent involvement in this matter will assume a more refined and methodical approach.

SELECTIOV OF THE AGENT

On April 22, 1970, letters were sent to ten local travel agencies. These letters invited the travel-tour program for adults; 1) to Europe and, 2) to Scandinavia. By the deadline of June 8,1970 , only one of the ten agencies had submitted a proposal. This was the First Arlington International Travel Agency. Meanwhile, unsolicited bids were received from Emhage Tours, Inc. of Grand Rapids, Michigan and from Glenview Travel Service, Glenview, Illinois. The unsolicited bids were on tours to places other than Europe and Scandinavia.

After an extensive comparison of the travel-tour programs at hand, it was decided that the unsolicited bid of the Glenview Travel Service was the best. Their travel tours would be; l) to the Mediterranean and 2) to the Caribbean. Because the solicited bid of the First Arlington International Travel Agency was not, however, made on the basis of a Mediterranean and a Caribbean trip, they were given the opportunity to re-submit their bid based upon these later specifications. Upon receiving the latter bid, it was decided that the Glenview Travel Service still had the better program. Accordingly, I recommend that we award the agency for the travel-tour program for adults for the 1970-71 academic year to the Glenview Travel Service.

I further recommend that we award this program with the reservation that it will be cancelled if the Glenview Travel Service does not abide by their agreement with Harper College. If the program with the Glenview Travel Service is cancelled, I recommend that we award it to the First Arlington International Travel Agency.

## Introduction:

Prior to filing a grievance, every effort should be made to resolve prublensi by informal means using the procedures for resolution of problems.
A. Definition of a Grie vance:

A grievance is an allegation by an individuat faculty member, or a group of faculty members with a common frievance arising out o a specific transaction or cveri, that a misinterpretation, or misapplication of colloge policies or procedures, or other acts which the individual considers detrimental to his wellare has occurred.
B. Composition of Grievance Committee:

The grievance commitee shall consist of live (5) people, plus a non-voting secretary, elected at large iron, those faculty holding academic rank. Elections shall be bold in March of each year, beginning in March, 1970. The initial committee shall be established, in this same manner, within two (2) weeks after the approval and adoption of the procedure by the Board of Trustees, and become operational immediately thereafter. Vacancies shall be fillod by appointment by the president of the senate, with the consent of the senate. No two individuals fron the same division (as defined by representation in the faculty senate) shall serve on the grievance committee. Members shall serve staggered terms of two (2) years, with three (3) mombers of the first committee to serve one (i) yea, as selected by lot. The secretary shall serve for two (2) years.

## C. Determination of a Grievance:

A written statement of grievance will be filed with the secretary of the grievance committee with a copy to the appropriate vice president. Such a statement will alloge facts which he asserts entitles him to a remedy. Any such statement may be amonded or supplemented, bua unless all parties consent, such amendment or supplement can be filed after notice of hearing only if opposing parties have already responded to the original statement.

The party, or parties complainod of, within ten (l0) school days of the delivery of the copy of the statement of grievance to the secretary of the grievance committee, shall file a reply or replies in writing
with the aggrieved party and the secretary, admitting or denying the facts alleged in the statement. Such reply may set forth facts constituting any affirmative defense he or they may assert.

The grievance shall be said to be at issue with the filing of the reply, or at the elapse of ten (10) school days from the time of filing of the grievance, which ever occurs first, and the secretary will so notify the chairman.

Since tenure or the tenure granting process is a separate entity within the college and is not an aggrievable item, all matters pertaining thereto shall be referred to the appropriate committee as outlined in the Harper College policies.

A disagreement between the recommendations of the peer evaluation committee (Section VII, Faculty Evaluation Procedure) and the administration regarding the non-retention of a non-tenured faculty member will be considered an aggrievable item until January l, 1971, by which time a separate appeals system is to be developed and agreed upon to review such cases. If the separate appeals system is not agreed upon by January 1, 1971, then the disagreement in recommendations will be considered an aggrievable item only for the whole of the 1970-71 academic year.

## D. Procedures for Hearing:

When the grievance is said to be at issue, the grievance committee will initiate a formal hearing within five (5) school days. The hearing may be public or private, at the decision of the committee after consultation with the aggrieved.

The grievance committee will maintain the confidentiality of all records reviewed during the hearing.

All grievance hearings shall be open only to participants, their representatives, and to persons that have been requested to present evidence or answer questions relating to the grievance.

The following rules will be used for submitting evidence. (l) The secretary shall, at the request of any party to the matter, supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury, stating materiality and necessity for his case of a specific document, and the grounds for his belief in its necessity and materiality, prepare an instrument, "Request for the Production of Documents" at time of hearing. (2) The secretary shall deliver this document to the chairman of the grievance committee, who shall read the declaration in support of the request and shall sign and issue the request or refuse to do so. In the event of the refusal of the chairman, the declarant may instruct the secretary to forward the request and supporting declaration to the president of the college who shall sign and issue it or refuse to do so. The president's decision shall be final. (3) The secretary
shall also, at the request of any party, stating the materiality ard necessity for the party's case of a specific witness who is also a member of the general faculty of the college and the grounds for the party's belief in the necessity and materiality of his testimony, prepare a "Request for Appearance," and shall deliver it, together with the supporting declaration, to the chairman of the grievance committee, who shall sign and issue it or refuse to do so. In the event of the refusal of the chairman, the declarant may instruct the secretary to forward the request and supporting declaration to the president of the college, who shall sign and is sue it or refuse to do so. The president's decision shall be final. (4) Any person so served with a request for the production of documents or a request for appearance who objects, may direct the secretary to forward his written grounds for objection to the president of the college. The president shall review the request, and the president shall eithe: order the request to be complied with or shall deny the request giving reasons for so doing. The president's decision shall be final. (5) A willful failure to conform with such a request issued by the president of the college, or issued by the chairman of the grievance committee, when no objections to such request has been filed with the president of the college, shall be deemed insubordination on the part of the employee of the college.

The grievance committee may make further rules for its operational conduct which may include the extensions of time limitations specified within this section.

## E. Procedures Following Conclusion of Hearing:

If the grievance committee finds in favor of the aggrieved, the grievance committee will give its written recommendations to the president. The recommendations will include a statement of its findings of fact, a statement of its recommendations for action or other disposition of the case, a statement of reasons for its findings, conclusions and recommendations.

Within ten (10) school days, the president shall give his decision in writing to the chairman of the grievance committee and send a copy to the aggrieved. The president may hold a meeting with the grievance committee and the aggrieved prior to giving his decisicn.
F. Appeals:

The aggrieved faculty member may appeal the decision. He must inform the president of the college and the president of the faculty
senate in writing of his wish to appeal. A grievance appeals committee will be established within five (5) school days after receipt of this notice. The committee is composed of five (5) members; two (2) of which are appointed by the president of the college and three (3) appointed by the president of the faculty senate.

The president of the college will promptly refer the appeal to the grievance appeals committee which shall convene within five (5) school days after establishment of the committee. The grievance appeals committee shall review the case and arrive at a recommendation based on a majority vote in behalf of the recommendation that will be presented in writing to the president of the college. The statement will include findings of fact, recommendations for action or other disposition of the case and reasons for findings, recammendations and conclusions.

## G. Decision:

The president of the college shall forward promptly the written recommendation of the grievance committee, his own and the grievance appeals committee to the board of trustees for prompt and final action. All decisions of the board of trustees shall be final.

This agreement, made and entered into by and between the Board of Trustees of Junior College District No. 5l2, Counties of Cook, Kane, Lake, and McHenry, and State of Illinois (also known as William Rainey Harper College, and hereinafter referred to as the College), and as the Teacher).

## WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, it is the stated policy of the Board of Trustees of the College to extend continuous employment (tenure) to qualified faculty for the purpose of establishing an academic environment which is receptive to imaginative and constructive teaching, and

WHEREAS, after due deliberation and upon the recommendation of the College President, it is the opinion of the Board of Trustees that the Teacher is qualified for continuous employment,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED as follows:
(i) That the College does grant the Teacher employment status which shall remain continuous. The Salary of $\$$ $\qquad$ for the period beginning and endirg. $\qquad$ , to be paid in $\qquad$ installments less deductions as are agreed upon or otherwise authorized by law.
(2) That the Teacher shall perform such services as may be assigned, and the Teacher may be reassigned to other instructional positions withi his or her professional educational qualifications, and the Teacher shall faitifully perform and discharge all duties thus assigned.
(3) The Teacher is assigned to and accepts the position of
(4) This appointment shall not be construed as a dilution of the Harper College Board of Trustees' power of control over the terms and conditions of faculty employment as outlined in the Laws of the State of Illinois, the lawful rules, regulations and policies of the Illinois Junior College Board, the State Department of Vocational Education, and the policies adopted by the Harper College Board of Trustees. Said laws, rules, regulations, and policies, including Section 3.0.7., Subsections A and B-1, of the Harper College Policy Manual, are hereby made a condition of this employment. Said policy states:

## '3.0.7 Non-Retention of Tenured Appointments (2/9/67)

A. Reduction in Faculty

Termination of a continuous appointment because of financial exigency or because of cutbacks in program shall be demonstrably bona fide. If the Board increases the number of faculty or reinstates the discontinued positions within one year; tenured faculty will be given first priority for reinstatement insofar as they are qualified to hold such positions.

## B. Dismissal or Suspension

## 1. Adequate Cause

A tenured faculty member may be dismissed or suspended only by the Board and only for one or more of the following reasons and after compliance with the procedures herein set forth.
a. Unprofessional conduct, including willful neglect of duty and insubordination.
b. Incompetence or incapacity, whether mental or physical.
c. Moral turpitude。"
(5) IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto by signing this agreement do hereby acknowledge that they have read the foregoing agreement and all College policies adopted by the Board of Trustees and in force as of the date hereof, that they understand said agreement and policies, and agree to abide thereby, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 1 ! $\qquad$ _.

Chairman, Board of Trustees

Secretary, Board of Trustees

Teacher

Date


[^0]:    Harper College Auxiliary

[^1]:    $\because こ$ OTMTR INFORMAIION AND REMARKS PLEASE USE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FOF:,

